

STABILITY THEORY FOR SCHEMES OF FINITE TYPE AND SCHEMIC MOTIVIC INTEGRATION.

ANDREW R. STOUT

CONTENTS

Introduction	1
1. Admissible arcs.	2
2. Notions of stability for schemes.	3
3. Stability	6
4. The induced measure on generalized arc spaces.	7
5. Change of variables formula for μ .	9
6. Local deformations and stability.	13
7. Smooth reductions, local deformations, and stability.	19
8. The non-affine case and stability.	21
9. The reduced measure.	22
10. Lax stability and extension of measures	26
11. Change of variables for λ^l and $\bar{\mu}^l$.	28
12. Motivic generating series.	32
References	36

INTRODUCTION

We introduce a geometric theory of schemic motivic volumes for separated schemes of finite type over a field which are relative to appropriate choices of complete noetherian local rings. Schemic motivic integrals are defined and a change of variables formula is established. As a consequence of this formula, we prove that the localization of the schemic Grothendieck ring of the formal motivic site at the Leftschetz motive is not an injective map in Proposition 11.7. Naturally, it is of primary importance to understand when canonical morphism from a generalized arc space $\nabla_{\mathfrak{m}}X$ to the underlying scheme X is a piecewise trivial fibration. A discussion of this problem takes place in §6, §7,

and §8. We end with a few applications involving schemic motivic generating series. Most notably, we prove an important relation between igusa-zeta series and schemic motivic integration in Theorem 12.11.

1. ADMISSIBLE ARCS.

Fix a field k . Let R be a complete noetherian local ring with maximal ideal $\mathcal{M}$, we define the following:

- $R_n := R/\mathcal{M}^n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$
- $\mathfrak{s}_n = (\text{Spec } R_n, \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec } R_n})$
- Let $\mathfrak{s}_{n-1} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{s}_n$ be the closed immersion defined by the surjective homomorphism $R_n \twoheadrightarrow R_{n-1}$.
- Let $\mathbb{X}$ denote the resulting directed system of schemes and assume that $\mathbb{X}$ is not a finite set.
- Let $\mathfrak{x} = \varinjlim \mathbb{X}$ denote the direct limit of this direct system in the category of locally ringed spaces.
- Note that $\mathfrak{x}$ is the local ringed space $(\{x\}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{x}})$ where $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{x}}(\{x\}) = R$.
- (*Working over the field k*) We will make the assumption that

$$\mathfrak{s}_n \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$$

where $\mathbf{Sch}_k$ is the category of separated schemes of finite type over the field k .

- We denote by $\mathbf{Var}_k$ the full sub-category of $\mathbf{Sch}_k$ whose objects are objects X of $\mathbf{Sch}_k$ such that $X = X^{\text{red}}$ where X^{red} is the reduction of X . We will call an object in $\mathbf{Var}_k$ a *variety*.

We form the category $\mathbf{Fat}_k$ of *fat points over the field k* to be the full sub-category of the category of $\mathbf{Sch}_k$ formed by all $\mathfrak{x} \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$ such that $\mathfrak{x}^{\text{red}} = \text{Spec } k$.

1.1. Theorem. *The following are equivalent:*

- (a) $\mathfrak{m} \in \mathbf{Fat}_k$.
- (b) $\mathfrak{m}$ is the spectrum of a local artinian ring with residue field k .
- (c) The underlying topological space of $\mathfrak{x} \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$ is homeomorphic to a one point topological space $\{\cdot\}$.
- (d) $\mathfrak{m} \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$ is connected and of dimension 0.
- (e) $\mathfrak{m} \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$ is isomorphic to $J_o^n X$ for some closed subscheme $X = \text{Spec } A$ of $\mathbb{A}_k^g$, where $o \in X$ is a closed point corresponding to a maximal ideal $\mathcal{M}$ of A and $J_o^n X := \text{Spec}(A/\mathcal{M}^n)$.

1.2. Definition. We call a point system $\mathbb{Y}$ *admissible* if there exists a complete noetherian local ring R such that

$$\mathfrak{x} = \varinjlim \mathbb{Y}$$

where $\mathfrak{x}$ is defined, via R , exactly as in the previous bullets.

Temporary, let us denote by $\mathcal{C}$ the category formed by letting the objects be the collection of all locally ringed spaces formed by $\mathfrak{x} = \varinjlim \mathbb{Y}$ where $\mathbb{Y}$ is any admissible point system, and whose morphisms are comprised of morphisms $\mathfrak{x} \rightarrow \mathfrak{y}$ which are of the underlying admissible point systems in the sense that the each morphism in $\mathcal{C}$ is a directed system of morphisms of schemes. More specifically, we let $\mathbf{Arc}_k$ denote the full sub-category of $\mathcal{C}$ in the following way. An object in $\mathbf{Arc}_k$ will be a tuple $(\mathfrak{x}, \mathbb{X})$ where $\mathfrak{x}$ is a formal scheme constructed out of a complete noetherian local ring as in the previous bullets and $\mathbb{X} = \{\mathfrak{s}_n\}$ is the point system used to construct this formal scheme as exhibited in those bullets. We call $\mathbf{Arc}_k$ the *category of admissible arcs over k* .

1.3. Example. Let $\mathfrak{l}_n := \text{Spec } k[x]/(x^n)$ then $\mathfrak{l} := \varinjlim_n \mathfrak{l}_n \cong \text{Spec } k[[x]]$.

1.4. Theorem. *The following are equivalent*

- (a) $(\mathfrak{x}, \mathbb{X}) \in \mathbf{Arc}_k$.
- (b) $\mathfrak{x} = \varinjlim \mathbb{X}$ where $\mathbb{X}$ is a collection of fat points such that the embedded dimension of every $\mathfrak{m} \in \mathbb{X}$ is bounded by some natural number g .
- (c) $(\mathfrak{x}, \mathbb{X}) \in \mathbf{Arc}_k$ is isomorphic to $(J_o^\infty X, \{J_o^n X\})$ for some closed subscheme X of $\mathbb{A}_k^g$ where $o \in X$ is a closed point.

We have a set map $\ell : \mathbf{Fat}_k \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$ defined by $\ell(\mathfrak{m}) =$ the dimension of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathfrak{m})$ as a vector space over k – i.e., the cardinality of the basis elements used to generate the global sections of $\mathfrak{m}$ as a vector space. We call $\ell(\mathfrak{m})$ the *length of $\mathfrak{m}$* . We may extend this to a set map $l : \mathbf{Arc}_k \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{\mathfrak{f}}$ by $l(\mathfrak{x}, \mathbb{X}) := (l_{\mathfrak{x}}(n))$ where $l_{\mathfrak{x}}$ is the set map sending the n to $\ell(J_o^n X)$ where $J_o^n X$ is given by Theorem 1.4 and where $\mathbb{N}_{\mathfrak{f}}$ is the ultra-product of $\mathbb{N}$.

2. NOTIONS OF STABILITY FOR SCHEMES.

2.1. Definition. Let $\mathfrak{x} \in \mathbf{Arc}_k$. We will say that $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$ is $\mathfrak{x}$ -*quasi-stable* if there exists a positive integer N such that for all $\mathfrak{n} \in \mathbb{X}$ with $\ell(\mathfrak{n}) \geq N$, the functor

$$(\nabla_{\mathfrak{x}/\mathfrak{n}} X)^\circ : \mathbf{Fat}_k \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$$

is a formal motif.

In the above definition, $\nabla_{\mathfrak{x}/\mathfrak{n}} X$ denotes the image of $\nabla_{\mathfrak{x}} X$ in $\nabla_{\mathfrak{n}} X$ under the natural map $\pi_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\mathfrak{x}}$. One can find more details about this in [12] and [13] as well as information concerning formal motifs. Here Y° is the functor $\mathbf{Fat}_k \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$ defined by $X^\circ(\mathfrak{m}) = \text{hom}_k(\mathfrak{m}, X)$

By Theorem 8.1 of [13], every $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$ is $\mathfrak{x}$ -quasi-stable where $\mathfrak{x} = J_p^\infty X$ and p is some closed point of X . In fact by that same theorem of loc. cit. and by Theorem 1.4, we have the stronger proposition

2.2. Proposition. *For every $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$, X is $\mathfrak{x}$ -quasi-stable for all $\mathfrak{x} \in \mathbf{Arc}_k$.*

When X is $\mathfrak{x}$ -quasi-stable, we will denote by $qs_{\mathfrak{x}}(X)$ the minimum positive integer such that

$$\exists \mathfrak{n} \in \mathbb{X}, \quad qs_{\mathfrak{x}}(X) = \ell(\mathfrak{n}) \quad \text{and} \quad (\nabla_{\mathfrak{x}/\mathfrak{n}} X)^\circ \in \mathbf{Form}_k.$$

In this case, we say that X is $\mathfrak{x}$ -quasi-stable at level $qs_{\mathfrak{x}}(X) - 1$. We call it the *quasi-stability function*. Again, by Theorem 8.1, if $\mathfrak{x}$ is of the form $(J_p^\infty Y, \{J_p^n Y\})$ for some scheme Y and some closed point p of Y , then $qs_{\mathfrak{x}}(X) = 1$ for all $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$. A direct extension of this is the following

2.3. Proposition. *$qs_{\mathfrak{x}}(X) = 1$ for all $\mathfrak{x} \in \mathbf{Arc}_k$ and all $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$.*

The following is a list progressively stronger properties built out of the notion of quasi-stability:

- (*Weak Stability*). Suppose that X is $\mathfrak{x}$ -quasi-stable. This implies that there exists fat points¹ $\mathfrak{m} \geq \mathfrak{n}$ in $\mathbb{X}$ such that

$$(\nabla_{\mathfrak{x}/\mathfrak{m}} X)^\circ \quad \text{and} \quad (\nabla_{\mathfrak{x}/\mathfrak{n}} X)^\circ$$

are formal sieves whenever $\ell(\mathfrak{n}) \gg 0$. If it is the case that $\nabla_{\mathfrak{x}/\mathfrak{m}} X$ is the union of fibers of the natural map

$$\pi_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\mathfrak{m}} : \nabla_{\mathfrak{m}} X \rightarrow \nabla_{\mathfrak{n}} X$$

when its range is restricted to $\nabla_{\mathfrak{x}/\mathfrak{n}} X$ and when the length $\ell(n)$ above is sufficiently large, then we say that X is $\mathfrak{x}$ -weakly stable.

- (*Lax Stability*) Assume that X is $\mathfrak{x}$ -weakly stable and that the induced map

$$\nabla_{\mathfrak{x}/\mathfrak{m}} X \rightarrow \nabla_{\mathfrak{x}/\mathfrak{n}} X$$

given above is a piecewise trivial fibration with fiber $\mathbb{A}_k^r$ when $\ell(n) \gg 0$. In this case, we say that X is $\mathfrak{x}$ -laxly stable.

- (*Stability*) Suppose that X is $\mathfrak{x}$ -laxly stable so that, in the above, $r = d(\ell(\mathfrak{m}) - \ell(\mathfrak{n}))$ where $d = \dim X$. Then, we say that X is $\mathfrak{x}$ -stable

Each of these conditions has a corresponding function in analogy to the quasi-stability function qs . We will illustrate how this works by defining the stability function:

¹As per §7 of [13], $\mathfrak{m} \geq \mathfrak{n}$ means that there is a closed embedding $\mathfrak{n} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{m}$.

When X is $\mathfrak{x}$ -stable, we will denote by $s_{\mathfrak{x}}(X)$ the minimum positive integer such that $\forall \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n} \in \mathbb{X}$ with $\ell(\mathfrak{m}) > \ell(\mathfrak{n}) \geq s_{\mathfrak{x}}(X)$, it is the case that

$$(\nabla_{\mathfrak{x}/\mathfrak{m}}X)^{\circ} \quad \text{and} \quad (\nabla_{\mathfrak{x}/\mathfrak{n}}X)^{\circ}$$

are formal sieves and that the natural map

$$\pi_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\mathfrak{m}} : \nabla_{\mathfrak{m}}X \rightarrow \nabla_{\mathfrak{n}}X ,$$

when its range is restricted to $\nabla_{\mathfrak{x}/\mathfrak{n}}X$, is a piecewise trivial fibration with fiber $\mathbb{A}_k^r$ where $r = d(\ell(\mathfrak{m}) - \ell(\mathfrak{n}))$ where $d = \dim X$. In this case, we say that X is $\mathfrak{x}$ -stable at level $s_{\mathfrak{x}}(X) - 1$. When X is not $\mathfrak{x}$ -stable, we set $s_{\mathfrak{x}}(X) = +\infty$. Note that s is a function

$$s : \mathbf{Sch}_k \times \mathbf{Arc}_k \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{+\infty\}$$

which we call the *stability function*. We leave it to the reader to define the *weak stability function* ws and the *lax stability function* ls .

For each $\mathfrak{x} \in \mathbf{Arc}_k$, we define the following subsets of $\mathbf{Sch}_k$

- The collection of all $\mathfrak{x}$ -weakly-stable k -schemes of finite type:

$$WStS_{\mathfrak{x}} := ws_{\mathfrak{x}}^{-1}(\mathbb{N}) .$$

- The collection of all $\mathfrak{x}$ -laxly-stable k -schemes of finite type:

$$LStS_{\mathfrak{x}} := ls_{\mathfrak{x}}^{-1}(\mathbb{N}) .$$

- The collection of all $\mathfrak{x}$ -stable k -schemes of finite type:

$$StS_{\mathfrak{x}} := s_{\mathfrak{x}}^{-1}(\mathbb{N}) .$$

Clearly, for all $\mathfrak{x} \in \mathbf{Arc}_k$

$$StS_{\mathfrak{x}} \subset LStS_{\mathfrak{x}} \subset WStS_{\mathfrak{x}} \subset \mathbf{Sch}_k$$

2.4. Conjecture. *For each $\mathfrak{x} \in \mathbf{Arc}_k$, each inclusion above is strict.*

2.5. Theorem. *Let $SmSch_k$ be the full subcategory of $\mathbf{Sch}_k$ formed by smooth schemes of finite type over the field k . For all $\mathfrak{x} \in \mathbf{Arc}_k$ and all $X \in SmSch_k$, X is $\mathfrak{x}$ -stable at level 0. Hence, for all $\mathfrak{x} \in \mathbf{Arc}_k$, and all $X \in SmSch_k$*

$$s_{\mathfrak{x}}(X) = ls_{\mathfrak{x}}(X) = ws_{\mathfrak{x}}(X) = 1 ,$$

and therefore $SmSch_k \subset StS_{\mathfrak{x}} \forall \mathfrak{x} \in \mathbf{Arc}_k$.

Proof. This is proved in Theorem 4.14 of [13]. □

3. STABILITY

Let $\mathcal{H}_k = Gr(\mathbf{Form}_k)_{\mathbb{L}}$ be the localization of the Grothendieck ring of the formal motivic site at $\mathbb{L}$ and let $\mathcal{G}_k = Gr(\mathbf{Var}_k)_{\mathbb{L}}$ be the localization of the Grothendieck ring of varieties over k . Let $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_k$ and $\hat{\mathcal{G}}_k$ be the completion of $\mathcal{H}_k$ and $\mathcal{G}_k$ with respect to the filtrations

$F^m \mathcal{H}_k = \{X \in \mathcal{H}_k \mid \dim X < m\}$ and $F^m \mathcal{G}_k = \{X \in \mathcal{G}_k \mid \dim X < m\}$, respectively.

3.1. Theorem. *There is a ring homomorphism*

$$\sigma : Gr(\mathbf{Form}_k) \rightarrow Gr(\mathbf{Var}_k) .$$

Moreover, σ canonically induces ring homomorphisms $\sigma' : \mathcal{H}_k \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_k$ and $\hat{\sigma} : \hat{\mathcal{H}}_k \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{G}}_k$. The ring homomorphism $\hat{\sigma}$ is a continuous ring homomorphism of topological rings.

Proof. The case when the underlying field is algebraically closed is done in the proof Theorem 7.7 of [?]. That argument only depends on the the field being algebraically closed because Schoutens uses a weak form of Chevalley's theorem to insure that $\mathcal{X}(k) = \text{im}(X \rightarrow Y)(k)$ is a constructible subset of $X(k)$. This result was generalized by Grothendieck in [4] Theorem 1.8.4 to all morphisms of finite presentation between quasi-compact quasi-separated schemes² However, all morphisms in $\mathbf{Sch}_k$ satisfy this hypothesis regardless of the ground field. Thus, Schoutens' proof goes through over any field k . Explicitly, we define

$$\sigma(\mathcal{X}) = f^{-1}(\text{im}(X \rightarrow Y)(k))$$

where f is the reduction map from $Y^{red} \rightarrow Y$. Note that by 1.8.2 of [4], this is a constructible set of the variety Y^{red} .

The rest follows from basic facts concerning localization and completion as functors. For example, the reader could consult [1]. $\square$

3.2. Remark. As we have much more need for the ring homomorphism σ' than σ , we will now often abuse notation and write σ for σ' .

3.3. Definition. We define a map of sets $\mu_{\mathfrak{x}}[s] : StS_{\mathfrak{x}} \times \mathbf{Arc}_k \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{H}}_k$ by

$$\mu[s](X, \mathfrak{x}) := \mu_{\mathfrak{x}}[s](X) := [(\nabla_{\mathfrak{x}/\mathfrak{n}} X)^{\circ}]_{\mathbb{L}}^{-s_{\mathfrak{x}}(X) \dim X}$$

where $\mathfrak{n} \in \mathbb{X}$ is such that $\ell(\mathfrak{n}) = s_{\mathfrak{x}}(X)$. We call $\mu_{\mathfrak{x}}[s](X)$ the *stable motivic $\mathfrak{x}$ -volume of X* .

²Here, one needs the quasi-compact and quasi-separated actually only on Y as X is constructible. See (1.8.1) of [4] for the details.

3.4. Definition. Let X be an element of $StS_{\mathfrak{r}}$ (resp., $WStS_{\mathfrak{r}}$ and $LStS_{\mathfrak{r}}$). Let $\alpha : \nabla_{\mathfrak{r}}X \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{+\infty\}$ be any map of sets such that for all $\mathfrak{n} \in \mathbb{X}$ with $\ell(\mathfrak{n}) \geq s_{\mathfrak{r}}(X)$ the subset $\alpha^{-1}(n)$ of $\nabla_{\mathfrak{r}}X$ is such that

$$[(\pi_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\mathfrak{r}}(\alpha^{-1}(n)))^{\circ}] \in \hat{\mathcal{H}}_k$$

via the canonical homomorphism $\mathcal{H}_k \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{H}}_k$. In this case, we say that α is a $\mathfrak{r}$ -stable function (resp., $\mathfrak{r}$ -weakly stable function and $\mathfrak{r}$ -laxly stable function).

3.5. Definition. Let A be a subset of $\nabla_{\mathfrak{r}}X$. Suppose that there exists a natural number $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the function $I_{A,n} : \nabla_{\mathfrak{r}}X \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{+\infty\}$ defined by

$$I_{A,n}(a) = \begin{cases} n & \text{whenever } a \in A \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is $\mathfrak{r}$ -weakly stable (resp., $\mathfrak{r}$ -laxly stable and $\mathfrak{r}$ -stable) and $n = ws_{\mathfrak{r}}(X)$, (resp., $n = ls_{\mathfrak{r}}(X)$ and $n = s_{\mathfrak{r}}(X)$), then we say that A is $\mathfrak{r}$ -quasi-stable (resp., $\mathfrak{r}$ -laxly-stable and $\mathfrak{r}$ -stable).

3.6. Theorem. Assume that X is $\mathfrak{r}$ -stable where $(\mathfrak{r}, \mathbb{X}) \in \mathbf{Arc}_k$. Let S be a closed sub-scheme of X . Then, X_S is $\mathfrak{r}$ -laxly stable, $ls_{\mathfrak{r}}(X_S) = s_{\mathfrak{r}}(X)$, and hence $\nabla_{\mathfrak{r}}X_S$ is a $\mathfrak{r}$ -stable subset of $\nabla_{\mathfrak{r}}X$.

Proof. Since X is $\mathfrak{r}$ -stable, there exists an n such that $\nabla_{\mathfrak{r}/\mathfrak{m}}X \rightarrow \nabla_{\mathfrak{r}/\mathfrak{n}}X$ is a piecewise trivial fibration for all $\mathfrak{m} > \mathfrak{n}$ in $\mathbb{X}$ with general fiber $\mathbb{A}_k^{d(m-n)}$. By Theorem 4.4 of [13], we may cover X by a finite collection of opens U_i such that

$$\nabla_{\mathfrak{r}/\mathfrak{m}}U_i \cong \nabla_{\mathfrak{r}/\mathfrak{n}}U_i \times_k \mathbb{A}_k^{d(m-n)}.$$

By Lemma 4.9 of loc. cit., we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\mathfrak{r}/\mathfrak{m}}(U_i)_{U_i \cap S} &\cong (U_i)_{U_i \cap S} \times_k \nabla_{\mathfrak{r}/\mathfrak{n}}U_i \times_k \mathbb{A}_k^{d(m-n)} \\ &\cong \nabla_{\mathfrak{r}/\mathfrak{n}}(U_i)_{U_i \cap S} \times_k \mathbb{A}_k^{d(m-n)} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the natural morphism $\nabla_{\mathfrak{r}/\mathfrak{m}}X_S \rightarrow \nabla_{\mathfrak{r}/\mathfrak{n}}X_S$ is a piecewise trivial fibration with general fiber $\mathbb{A}_k^{d(m-n)}$ for all $m \geq n$. Thus, X_S is $\mathfrak{r}$ -laxly-stable and $ls_{\mathfrak{r}}(X_S) = s_{\mathfrak{r}}(X)$. $\square$

4. THE INDUCED MEASURE ON GENERALIZED ARC SPACES.

Let $\mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{r}}^X[s]$ be the collection of all stable subsets of $\nabla_{\mathfrak{r}}X$. Let $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{r}}^X[s]$ be the collection of subsets of $\nabla_{\mathfrak{r}}X$ of the form

$$\bigsqcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (A_i \setminus \nabla_{\mathfrak{r}}X_S)$$

where $A_i \in \mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{r}}^X[s]$ and S is a closed subset of X such that $\dim S < \dim X$.

4.1. Theorem. *Let X be $\mathfrak{r}$ -stable and assume that $d = \dim X$. We have a set map*

$$\mu_{\mathfrak{r}} : \Gamma_{\mathfrak{r}}^X[s] \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{H}}_k$$

with the following properties:

(a) *When $A \in \mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{r}}^X[s]$, then*

$$\mu_{\mathfrak{r}}(A) = \mu_{\mathfrak{r}}[s](A) := [\pi_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\mathfrak{r}}(A)]\mathbb{L}^{-nd} \in \hat{\mathcal{H}}_k .$$

(b) *When S is a closed sub-scheme of X with $\dim S < \dim X$, then it is the case that $\mu_{\mathfrak{r}}(\nabla_{\mathfrak{r}} X_S) = 0$.*

(c) *When $\{A_i\}$ is a countable collection of mutually disjoint elements of $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{r}}^X[s]$, then*

$$\mu_{\mathfrak{r}}(\sqcup_i A_i) = \sum_i \mu_{\mathfrak{r}}(A_i) .$$

Proof. Consider any set of the form $A = \sqcup_i A_i$ with $A_i \in \mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{r}}^X[s]$. We define

$$(4.1) \quad \mu_{\mathfrak{r}}(A) := \sum_i \mu_{\mathfrak{r}}[s](A_i \setminus \nabla_{\mathfrak{r}} X_S)$$

where S is any closed sub-scheme of X such that $\dim S < \dim X$. We will now verify that this definition is independent of choice of representation $A = \sqcup_i A_i$ and independent of choice of closed subset S of X . First, let A also be equal to $\sqcup_i B_i$ where $B_i \in \mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{r}}^X[s]$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\mathfrak{r}}(\sqcup_i A_i) &= \sum_i \mu_{\mathfrak{r}}[s](A_i \setminus \nabla_{\mathfrak{r}} S) \\ &= \sum_i \mu_{\mathfrak{r}}[s](\sqcup_j (A_i \cap B_j) \setminus \nabla_{\mathfrak{r}} S) \\ &= \sum_i \sum_j [s] \mu_{\mathfrak{r}}(A_i \cap B_j \setminus \nabla_{\mathfrak{r}} S) \\ &= \sum_j \mu_{\mathfrak{r}}[s](\sqcup_i (A_i \cap B_j) \setminus \nabla_{\mathfrak{r}} S) \\ &= \sum_j \mu_{\mathfrak{r}}[s](B_j \setminus \nabla_{\mathfrak{r}} S) \\ &= \mu_{\mathfrak{r}}(\sqcup_i B_i) . \end{aligned}$$

We claim that, for any $A \in \mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{r}}^X[s]$,

$$(4.2) \quad \mu_{\mathfrak{r}}(A) = \mu_{\mathfrak{r}}(A \setminus \nabla_{\mathfrak{r}} X_S) .$$

Now, for ease of notation we will denote by π_m the canonical morphism $\pi_m^{\mathfrak{x}} : \nabla_{\mathfrak{x}} X \rightarrow \nabla_{\mathfrak{m}} X$. We have the following partition of $A \setminus \nabla_{\mathfrak{x}} X_S$

$$(A \setminus \pi_m^{-1}(\pi_m(\nabla_{\mathfrak{x}} X_S))) \sqcup \bigsqcup_{n \geq m} ((\pi_n^{-1}(\pi_n(\nabla_{\mathfrak{x}} X_S)) \setminus \pi_{n+1}^{-1}(\pi_{n+1}(\nabla_{\mathfrak{x}} X_S))) \cap A) ,$$

and we have the following partition of A

$$A \setminus \pi_m^{-1}(\pi_m(\nabla_{\mathfrak{x}} X_S)) \sqcup \pi_m^{-1}(\pi_m(\nabla_{\mathfrak{x}} X_S)) \cap A .$$

Therefore, the difference between $\mu_{\mathfrak{x}}(A \setminus \nabla_{\mathfrak{x}} X_S)$ and $\mu_{\mathfrak{x}}(A)$ is

$$\sum_{n \geq m} \mu_{\mathfrak{x}}(\pi_n^{-1}((\pi_n(\nabla_{\mathfrak{x}} X_S)) \cap A)) - \sum_{n \geq m} \mu_{\mathfrak{x}}(\pi_n^{-1}(\pi_n(\nabla_{\mathfrak{x}} X_S)) \cap A) ,$$

which is equivalent to 0 in $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$. Note that this partition also implies that $A \setminus \nabla_{\mathfrak{x}} X_S$ is in $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{x}}^X[s]$.

Now, we can prove that the definition given in Equation 4.1 is independent of choice of closed sub-scheme S . Let $A \in \mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{x}}^X$ and let S' be another closed sub-scheme of X such that $\dim S' < \dim X$. Using Equation 4.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\mathfrak{x}}[s](A \setminus \nabla_{\mathfrak{x}} X_S) &= \mu_{\mathfrak{x}}[s](A \setminus \nabla_{\mathfrak{x}} X_S \setminus \nabla_{\mathfrak{x}} X_{S'}) \\ &= \mu_{\mathfrak{x}}[s](A \setminus \nabla_{\mathfrak{x}} X_{S'} \setminus \nabla_{\mathfrak{x}} X_S) \\ &= \mu_{\mathfrak{x}}[s](A \setminus \nabla_{\mathfrak{x}} X_{S'}) . \end{aligned}$$

Now, (b) also follows from Equation 4.2 and Theorem 3.6, and (a) and (c) follow from the definition of $\mu_{\mathfrak{x}}$ given in Equation 4.1 and (b). $\square$

We have then the following definition of the stable motivic integral:

4.2. Definition. Let $\alpha : \nabla_{\mathfrak{x}} X \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{+\infty\}$ be $\mathfrak{x}$ -stable function, then we define

$$\int_{\nabla_{\mathfrak{x}} X} \mathbb{L}^{-\alpha} d\mu_{\mathfrak{x}} := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_{\mathfrak{x}}(\alpha^{-1}(n)) \mathbb{L}^{-n} .$$

We say that α is $\mathfrak{x}$ -integrable if this summation converges in $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_k$.

5. CHANGE OF VARIABLES FORMULA FOR μ .

5.1. Lemma. Let $(\mathfrak{x}, \mathbb{X}) \in \mathbf{Arc}_k$. Assume that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{x}}(\mathfrak{x}) = R$ has smooth irreducible components and list its minimal primes as $\{\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathfrak{p}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_h\}$. For each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, h\}$, let $g(i) = \dim R/\mathfrak{p}_i$. Then for every $i \in \{1, \dots, h\}$ and each $j \in \{1, \dots, g(i)\}$, we have the following propositions:

(a) For every $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$, there is an morphism

$$r_X(i, j) : \nabla_{\mathfrak{x}} X \rightarrow \nabla_{\mathfrak{t}} X .$$

(b) For every $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$ and every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a morphism

$$r_{X,n}(i, j) : \nabla_n X \rightarrow \nabla_{l_n} X .$$

(c) For every $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$ and every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \nabla_{\mathfrak{r}} X & \xrightarrow{r_X(i,j)} & \nabla_{\mathfrak{l}} X \\ \pi_n^{\mathfrak{r}} \downarrow & & \pi_{l_n}^{\mathfrak{l}} \downarrow \\ \nabla_n X & \xrightarrow{r_{X,n}(i,j)} & \nabla_{l_n} X \end{array}$$

(d) Given any morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in $\mathbf{Sch}_k$, there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \nabla_{\mathfrak{r}} X & \xrightarrow{r_X(i,j)} & \nabla_{\mathfrak{l}} X \\ f_{\mathfrak{r}} \downarrow & & f_{\mathfrak{l}} \downarrow \\ \nabla_{\mathfrak{r}} Y & \xrightarrow{r_Y(i,j)} & \nabla_{\mathfrak{l}} Y \end{array}$$

(e) Given any morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in $\mathbf{Sch}_k$ and any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \nabla_n X & \xrightarrow{r_{X,n}(i,j)} & \nabla_{l_n} X \\ f_n \downarrow & & f_{l_n} \downarrow \\ \nabla_n Y & \xrightarrow{r_{Y,n}(i,j)} & \nabla_{l_n} Y \end{array}$$

Proof. Let i and j be as stated in the lemma. We have the sequence of ring homomorphisms

$$R \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{p}_i \cong k[[t_1, \dots, t_g]] \rightarrow k[[t_j]] .$$

We have that

$$\mathfrak{m} \cdot k[[t_1, \dots, t_{g(i)}]] \subset (t_1, \dots, t_{g(i)}) .$$

Thus, $\mathfrak{m} \cdot k[[t_j]] \subset (t_j)$ so that for each of these i and j , we have a local ring homomorphism $R \rightarrow k[[t_j]]$ which gives rise to a morphism of admissible arcs

$$(\mathfrak{l}, \{l_n\}) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{r}, \mathbb{X}) .$$

This gives rise³ to natural transformations of functors

$$\nabla_{\mathfrak{r}} \rightarrow \nabla_{\mathfrak{l}} \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla_n \rightarrow \nabla_{l_n}$$

³More information on this can be found in Chapter 3 of [13].

where $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{X}$ such that $\ell(\mathbf{n}) = n$ and n is arbitrary. (a), (b), (d), and (e) are direct consequences of this fact. Likewise, this fact together with the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{n} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{l}_n \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{x} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{l} \end{array}$$

which is also implied by our work above prove (c). $\square$

5.2. Lemma. *Let $\alpha : \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{+\infty\}$ be a $\mathbf{x}$ -quasi-stable function. Then, we can find a $\mathbf{l}$ -quasi-stable function $\beta : \nabla_{\mathbf{l}} X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{+\infty\}$ such that*

$$\alpha = \beta \circ r_X(i, j) .$$

Proof. We define β by the following condition

$$\beta(a) = n \in \mathbb{Z} \cup \{+\infty\} \quad \forall a \in r_X(i, j)(\alpha^{-1}(n)) .$$

Using Lemma 4.1(c), we have

$$\pi_{\mathbf{l}_n}^{\mathbf{l}}(\beta^{-1}(n)) = \pi_{\mathbf{l}_n}^{\mathbf{l}}(r_X(i, j)(\alpha^{-1}(n))) = r_{X,n}(i, j)(\pi_{\mathbf{n}}^{\mathbf{x}}(\alpha^{-1}(n))) .$$

By assumption, $\pi_{\mathbf{n}}^{\mathbf{x}}(\alpha^{-1}(n))$ defines a formal sieve. It is proven in Theorem 7.8 of [12] that the image of a formal sieve is a formal sieve. This demonstrates that $\pi_{\mathbf{l}_n}^{\mathbf{l}}(\beta^{-1}(n))$ defines a formal sieve, which proves the lemma. $\square$

5.3. Definition. Let $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$ be smooth and connected (this implies that $X \in \mathbf{Var}_k$) of dimension d . Let f be a birational morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in $\mathbf{Sch}_k$ where Y is not necessary in $\mathbf{Var}_k$. We define

$$J_X(i, j)[f] = \text{ord} f^* \Omega_Y^d \circ r_X(i, j)$$

where $\text{ord} f^* \Omega_Y^d$ is defined as in [2].

5.4. Lemma. *Let X be a smooth connected scheme. Then*

$$J_X(i, j)[f] : \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{+\infty\}$$

is a $\mathbf{x}$ -stable function.

Proof. First, we need to show that the set

$$\pi_{\mathbf{n}}^{\mathbf{x}}(r_X(i, j)^{-1}((\text{ord} f^* \Omega_X^d)^{-1}(n)))$$

defines a formal sieve. By Lemma 4.1(c), this set is equal to

$$r_{X,n}(i, j)^{-1}(\pi_{\mathbf{l}_n}^{\mathbf{l}}((\text{ord} f^* \Omega_X^d)^{-1}(n))) .$$

From [2], we know that $\pi_{\mathbf{l}_n}^{\mathbf{l}}((\text{ord} f^* \Omega_X^d)^{-1}(n))$ is a constructible subset of $\nabla_{\mathbf{l}_n} X$. Note that $r_{X,n}(i, j)$ is quasi-compact continuous morphism

of topological spaces. Therefore, it is retro-compact by Lemma 9.5 of [14]. Moreover, this implies that the inverse image of a constructible set is a constructible set by Lemma 10.3 of loc. cit. A constructible subset of $\nabla_{\mathfrak{n}}X$ is a formal sieve (cf. Definition 6.2 and Lemma 7.5 of [12]). $\square$

5.5. Theorem. *Assume that k is of characteristic zero. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a birational morphism with X connected and smooth, Y $\mathfrak{x}$ -stable, $\dim Y > 0$, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{x}}(\mathfrak{x})$ has smooth irreducible components, and let $\alpha : \nabla_{\mathfrak{x}}Y \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{+\infty\}$ be a $\mathfrak{x}$ -quasi-stable function, then we have the following identity in $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_k$:*

$$\int_{\nabla_{\mathfrak{x}}Y} \mathbb{L}^{-\alpha} d\mu_{\mathfrak{x}} = \int_{\nabla_{\mathfrak{x}}X} \mathbb{L}^{-\alpha \circ f - J_X(i,j)[f]} d\mu_{\mathfrak{x}}$$

when both sides converge.

Proof. By Lemma 5.2, there is a $\mathfrak{l}$ -quasi-stable function β so that $\alpha = \beta \circ r_Y(i, j)$. By part (d) of Lemma 5.1, we have

$$\alpha \circ f = \beta \circ f \circ r_X(i, j) .$$

Therefore, it is the case that

$$-\alpha \circ f - J_X(i, j)[f] = (-\beta \circ f - \text{ord} f^* \Omega_Y^d) \circ r_X(i, j) .$$

For any $Z \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$, we define

$$R_Z^{(i,j)}\left(\sum_n [A_n] \mathbb{L}^n\right) := \sum_n [r_{Z,n}(i, j)^{-1}(A_n)] \mathbb{L}^n$$

where A_n are $\mathfrak{l}$ -stable subsets of $\nabla_{\mathfrak{l}}Z$ (which are stable at level n). Before we use this operator, we derive the following

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\nabla_{\mathfrak{x}}Y} \mathbb{L}^{-\beta \circ r_Y(i,j)} d\mu_{\mathfrak{x}} &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_{\mathfrak{x}}(r_Y(i, j)^{-1}(\beta^{-1}(n))) \mathbb{L}^{-n} \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} [\pi_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\mathfrak{x}}(r_Y(i, j)^{-1}(\beta^{-1}(n)))] \mathbb{L}^{-n(d+1)} \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} [r_Y(i, j)^{-1}(\pi_{\mathfrak{l}_n}^{\mathfrak{l}}(\beta^{-1}(n)))] \mathbb{L}^{-n(d+1)} \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from part (c) of Lemma 5.1. Now, we apply $R_Y^{(i,j)}$ to both sides to obtain

$$R_Y^{(i,j)}\left(\int_{\nabla_{\mathfrak{l}}Y} \mathbb{L}^{-\beta} d\mu_{\mathfrak{l}}\right) = \int_{\nabla_{\mathfrak{x}}Y} \mathbb{L}^{-\alpha} d\mu_{\mathfrak{x}} .$$

By a completely similar argument, one may arrive at

$$R_X^{(i,j)}\left(\int_{\nabla_{\mathfrak{t}}X} \mathbb{L}^{-\beta \circ f - \text{ord} f^* \Omega_Y^d} d\mu_{\mathfrak{t}}\right) = \int_{\nabla_{\mathfrak{t}}X} \mathbb{L}^{-\alpha \circ f - J_X(i,j)[f]} d\mu_{\mathfrak{t}}.$$

Now, by Lemma 3.4 of [2], we have the following equality

$$(5.1) \quad \int_{\nabla_{\mathfrak{t}}Y} \mathbb{L}^{-\beta} d\mu_{\mathfrak{t}} = \int_{\nabla_{\mathfrak{t}}X} \mathbb{L}^{-\beta \circ f - \text{ord} f^* \Omega_Y^d} d\mu_{\mathfrak{t}}$$

in $\hat{\mathcal{G}}_k$. By Lemma 9.7, we may pull-back this equality along $\hat{\sigma}$ to $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_k$. We may use part (b) of Lemma 4.1 to assume, from the start, that f is an isomorphism because f is a birational morphism (note that we need the assumption $\dim Y > 0$ here). This means that part (d) of Lemma 5.1 will imply that $R_X^{(i,j)} = R_Y^{(i,j)}$. Therefore, applying $R_Y^{(i,j)}$ to both sides of Equation 5.1 gives the following equalities in $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_k$:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\nabla_{\mathfrak{t}}Y} \mathbb{L}^{-\alpha} d\mu_{\mathfrak{t}} &= R_Y^{(i,j)}\left(\int_{\nabla_{\mathfrak{t}}Y} \mathbb{L}^{-\beta} d\mu_{\mathfrak{t}}\right) \\ &= R_Y^{(i,j)}\left(\int_{\nabla_{\mathfrak{t}}X} \mathbb{L}^{-\beta \circ f - \text{ord} f^* \Omega_Y^d} d\mu_{\mathfrak{t}}\right) \\ &= R_X^{(i,j)}\left(\int_{\nabla_{\mathfrak{t}}X} \mathbb{L}^{-\beta \circ f - \text{ord} f^* \Omega_Y^d} d\mu_{\mathfrak{t}}\right) \\ &= \int_{\nabla_{\mathfrak{t}}X} \mathbb{L}^{-\alpha \circ f - J_X(i,j)[f]} d\mu_{\mathfrak{t}}, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the theorem. $\square$

6. LOCAL DEFORMATIONS AND STABILITY.

The prototypical example of a stable scheme is any smooth scheme X in $\mathbf{Sch}_k$ of dimension d . We wish to generalize the following theorem.

6.1. Theorem. *For any $\mathfrak{t} \in \mathbf{Arc}_k$ and any $X \in \mathbf{SmSch}_k$ of dimension d , we have*

$$\mu_{\mathfrak{t}}(\nabla_{\mathfrak{t}}X) = [X] \mathbb{L}^{-d}.$$

Proof. This follows immediately from Theorem 2.5. $\square$

6.2. Example. By Theorem 4.8 of [13], $\nabla_{\mathfrak{t}} \text{Spec } k \cong \mathfrak{t}$. Thus, we can use Theorem 6.1 to calculate the volume of any admissible arc. For every $\mathfrak{t} \in \mathbf{Arc}_k$, we have

$$\mu_{\mathfrak{t}}(\mathfrak{t}) := \mu_{\mathfrak{t}}(\nabla_{\mathfrak{t}} \text{Spec } k) = [\text{Spec } k] \mathbb{L}^{-0} = 1$$

Clearly, $\nabla_{\mathfrak{t}} \emptyset = \emptyset$, from which we may define $\mu_{\mathfrak{t}}(\emptyset) := 0$. Finally, note that $\mu_{\mathfrak{t}}(\nabla_{\mathfrak{t}} \mathbb{A}_k^d) = 1$ as well.

6.3. Proposition. *Assume that k is of characteristic 0. The set map*

$$\mu_{\mathfrak{x}} \circ \nabla_{\mathfrak{x}} : \mathbf{Var}_k \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_k$$

induces a ring homomorphism from $M_{\mathfrak{x}} : Gr(\mathbf{Var}_k) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_k$.

Proof. We note that every element $[S]$ of $Gr(\mathbf{Var}_k)$ can be written as a finite integral sum of equivalence classes of smooth connected varieties $[X_i] \in Gr(Var_k)$. Thus, we may define

$$M_{\mathfrak{x}}([S]) := M_{\mathfrak{x}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^l m_i [X_i]\right) := \sum_{i=1}^l m_i \mu_{\mathfrak{x}}(\nabla_{\mathfrak{x}} X_i) = \sum_{i=1}^l m_i [X_i] \mathbb{L}^{-\dim(X_i)} .$$

□

6.4. Remark. Let SB_k be the set of stably projective varieties over k where k is of characteristic 0. We can extend the ring homomorphism above to a ring homomorphism from $\mathbb{Z}[SB_k]$ to $\mathcal{H}_k$ by defining $\bar{M}_{\mathfrak{x}}([T]) := \mathbb{L} \cdot M_{\mathfrak{x}}([S])$ where $[T]$ is in $\mathbb{Z}[SB_k]$ and $[S] \in Gr(\mathbf{Var}_k)$ is such that $[S] \bmod (\mathbb{L}) = [T]$ (cf. [8]). Note that

$$\mathrm{Ker}(\bar{M}_{\mathfrak{x}}) = Gr_0(\mathbf{Var}_k)/(\mathbb{L}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{P}^1] .$$

6.5. Remark. Theorem 6.1, Proposition 6.3, and Remark 6.4 all display the fact that our definition of stable motivic volume is just a generalization of the classic coming from geometric motivic integration theory (cf. [2], [3]). Integrating over different admissible arcs becomes necessary when we pass from the category of varieties over k to schemes over k as we will see below. Moreover, extending the above ring homomorphisms to the spheric case only becomes possible with greater understanding of the Grothendieck ring of a motivic site. One possibility for this extension is described in §9 and §10.

6.6. Definition. We say that a scheme Y is a *local deformation* of a scheme X if there exists a fat point $\mathfrak{n}$ which admits a flat morphism $Y \rightarrow \mathfrak{n}$ together with a morphism $X \rightarrow Y$ such that the induced morphism $X \rightarrow Y \times_{\mathfrak{n}} k$ is an isomorphism.

If X is smooth, then it is known (cf. [7] p. 38-39) that every local deformation Y is trivial – i.e., $Y \cong X \times_k \mathfrak{n}$ for some fat point $\mathfrak{n}$. Conjecturally, it seems likely that such a scheme Y would also be $\mathfrak{x}$ -stable for suitable choices of admissible arcs $\mathfrak{x}$.

We may generalize even further because, in this set-up, Y will be smooth over the fat point $\mathfrak{n}$ (just apply base change by $\mathfrak{n}$). Therefore, we have a potential source for a plethora of stable schemes – that is, *schemes which are smooth over a fat point*.

Thus, we would like to prove the following conjecture:

6.7. Conjecture. *Every scheme X which is smooth over a fat point $\mathbf{n}$ is $\mathfrak{x}$ -stable where $\mathfrak{x}$ is any admissible arc in $\mathbf{Arc}_k$.*

Example 6.17 below shows that the conjecture above, in full generality, is false. There seems to be an obstruction to having linear fibers based on the type of fat point $\mathbf{n}$ for which the scheme is smooth over. In what follows, we will attempt to characterize this problem more clearly. We begin this process by first noting the following remark involving quasi-stability:

6.8. Remark. Every scheme X which is smooth over a fat point $\mathbf{n}$ is $\mathfrak{x}$ -quasi-stable where $\mathfrak{x}$ is any admissible arc in $\mathbf{Arc}_k$ and where $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{X}$. This is just a special case of Proposition 2.2; however, we will offer a different proof of this fact in Corollary 6.13.

6.9. Lemma. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an étale morphism of schemes and let $\mathbf{n}$ be a fat point over k . Then*

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{n}}X \cong X \times_Y \nabla_{\mathbf{n}}Y$$

Proof. Cf. Theorem 4.12 of [13]. $\square$

6.10. Lemma. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an étale morphism of schemes and let $\mathfrak{x}$ be a limit point over k (e.g., $\mathfrak{x}$ is an admissible arc over k), then*

$$\nabla_{\mathfrak{x}}X \cong X \times_Y \nabla_{\mathfrak{x}}Y$$

Proof. This follows directly from the definition of inverse limit and Lemma 7.4 of [13]. $\square$

6.11. Theorem. *Let $X \rightarrow \mathbf{n}$ be a smooth morphism and let $\mathbf{m}$ be any fat point. The canonical morphism $\nabla_{\mathbf{m}}X \times_k \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{n}}^d \rightarrow X$ is a piecewise trivial fibration with fiber $\nabla_{\mathbf{m}}\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{n}}^d$.*

Proof. Using Theorem 4.4 of [13], we may cover $\nabla_{\mathbf{m}}X$ by opens $\nabla_{\mathbf{m}}U$ where U is an open in X . Therefore, by shrinking X if necessary, we may assume that there is an étale morphism $X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{n}}^d$ (cf., [9] Chapter 6, Corollary 2.11).

We apply Lemma 6.9 to obtain

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{m}}X \cong X \times_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{n}}^d} \nabla_{\mathbf{m}}\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{n}}^d \cong X \times_k \mathbb{A}_k^{d\ell(\mathbf{m})} \times_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{n}}^d} \nabla_{\mathbf{m}}\mathbf{n}.$$

Therefore,

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{m}}X \cong X \times_k \mathbb{A}_k^{d(\ell(\mathbf{m})-1)} \times_{\mathbf{n}} \nabla_{\mathbf{m}}\mathbf{n}.$$

Thus,

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{m}}X \times_k \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{n}}^d \cong X \times_k \mathbb{A}_k^{d\ell(\mathbf{m})} \times_{\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{n} \times_k \nabla_{\mathbf{m}}\mathbf{n} \cong X \times_k \nabla_{\mathbf{m}}\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{n}}^d.$$

$\square$

6.12. Corollary. *Let $X \rightarrow \mathfrak{n}$ be a smooth morphism and let $\mathfrak{m}$ be any fat point. There is an isomorphism*

$$\nabla_{\mathfrak{m}} X \times_k \mathbb{A}_{\mathfrak{n}}^d \cong X \times_k \nabla_{\mathfrak{m}} \mathbb{A}_{\mathfrak{n}}^d .$$

Proof. This is immediate if one uses Lemma 7.2. $\square$

6.13. Corollary. *Let $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$ be such that there is a smooth morphism $X \rightarrow \mathfrak{n}$ where $\mathfrak{n}$ is any fat point. Then, for any $(\mathfrak{x}, \mathbb{X}) \in \mathbf{Arc}_k$ with $\mathfrak{n} \in \mathbb{X}$, X is $\mathfrak{x}$ -quasi-stable.*

Proof. The image of the projection morphism $p : X \times \nabla_{\mathfrak{m}} \mathbb{A}_{\mathfrak{n}}^d \rightarrow X$ is independent of choice of fat point $\mathfrak{m} \in \mathbb{X}$. Moreover, the projection morphism $p' : \nabla_{\mathfrak{m}} X \times_k \mathbb{A}_{\mathfrak{n}}^d \rightarrow \nabla_{\mathfrak{m}} X$ will turn into a surjective functor of sets under $(-)^{\circ}$. Thus, the image sieve of the canonical morphism $\rho : \nabla_{\mathfrak{m}} X \rightarrow X$ will be independent of choice of $\mathfrak{m} \in \mathbb{X}$. Now, by applying either the previous corollary or previous theorem and the fact that $p = \rho \circ p'$, we obtain the result. $\square$

Now, we wish to grapple with the general conjecture. Our approach is to ask questions about lifts $X \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}$ of the given smooth morphism $X \rightarrow \mathfrak{n}$ when $\mathfrak{n} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{m}$ and $\mathfrak{m}$ is another fat point.

6.14. Theorem. *Suppose that X is affine. Let $f : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{n}$ is smooth be a smooth morphism where $\mathfrak{n}$ is a fat point and let $\mathfrak{n} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{m}$ where $\mathfrak{m}$ is any other fat point. Then there exists a smooth morphism $X \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}$ making the canonical diagram commute.*

Proof. First, we may reduce to the case where the closed immersion $\mathfrak{n} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{m}$ is given by a square zero ideal J . Then it is well-known that the obstruction to lifting smoothly to $X \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}$ lies in

$$H^2(X, T_X \otimes \tilde{J})$$

where T_X is the tangent bundle of X . Since $T_X \otimes \tilde{J}$ is quasi-coherent and X is assumed to be affine, we have that

$$H^2(X, T_X \otimes \tilde{J}) = 0 ,$$

by Theorem 3.5 of Chapter III of [6]. $\square$

This lemma states that any affine $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$ with $X \rightarrow \mathfrak{n}$ smooth has a well-defined (quasi-smooth⁴) morphism (of locally ringed spaces) $X \rightarrow \mathfrak{x}$ where $\mathfrak{x} \in \mathbf{Arc}_k$ such that X is $\mathfrak{x}$ -quasi-stable. Therefore, we may consider the following refinement of Conjecture 6.7:

⁴Without going into the details, we just mention that, for us, this means that the kähler differentials $\Omega_{X/\mathfrak{x}}$ are a finite, locally-free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{x}}$ module.

6.15. Conjecture. Assume that $X \rightarrow \mathfrak{n}$ and $X \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}$ are smooth morphisms such that $\mathfrak{n} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{m}$ are elements of the point system $\mathbb{X}$ associated to an admissible arc $\mathfrak{x} \in \mathbf{Arc}_k$. Then the natural morphism

$$\pi_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\mathfrak{m}} : \nabla_{\mathfrak{m}} X \rightarrow \nabla_{\mathfrak{n}} X$$

is a piecewise trivial fibration over k with general fiber $\mathbb{A}_k^{d(\ell(\mathfrak{m})-\ell(\mathfrak{n}))}$.

Naively, one might proceed to prove Conjecture 6.7 and Conjecture 6.15 in the following way. Let $d = \dim X$. We may cover X by a finite numbers of opens U with étale morphisms $U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathfrak{m}}^d$. As open immersions are smooth, the restriction $U \rightarrow \mathfrak{n}$ of $X \rightarrow \mathfrak{n}$ is also smooth. Therefore, we may cover U by opens V with étale morphisms $V \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathfrak{n}}^d$. Thus, from the start, we may assume that we have étale morphisms $X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathfrak{m}}^d$ and $X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathfrak{n}}^d$. We then have the following isomorphisms:

$$\nabla_{\mathfrak{m}} X \cong X \times_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathfrak{m}}^d} \nabla_{\mathfrak{m}} \mathbb{A}_{\mathfrak{m}}^d \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla_{\mathfrak{n}} X \cong X \times_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathfrak{n}}^d} \nabla_{\mathfrak{n}} \mathbb{A}_{\mathfrak{n}}^d.$$

Furthermore, we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \nabla_{\mathfrak{m}} X & \xrightarrow{\cong} & (X \times_{\mathfrak{m}} \nabla_{\mathfrak{m}} \mathfrak{m}) \times_k \mathbb{A}_k^{d(\ell(\mathfrak{m})-1)} \\ \pi_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\mathfrak{m}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \nabla_{\mathfrak{n}} X & \xrightarrow{\cong} & (X \times_{\mathfrak{n}} \nabla_{\mathfrak{n}} \mathfrak{n}) \times_k \mathbb{A}_k^{d(\ell(\mathfrak{n})-1)} \end{array}$$

This reduces to understanding the morphism $\nabla_{\mathfrak{m}} \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \nabla_{\mathfrak{n}} \mathfrak{n}$.

It is exactly here that this approach breaks down because understanding the morphism $\nabla_{\mathfrak{m}} \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \nabla_{\mathfrak{n}} \mathfrak{n}$ is elusive to us. In fact, there can be a complicated scheme structure to the so-called auto-arcs $\nabla_{\mathfrak{n}} \mathfrak{n}$. This is discussed in much more detail in [13]. We will now provide a counterexample to the claim that $\nabla_{\mathfrak{m}} \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \nabla_{\mathfrak{n}} \mathfrak{n}$ or $(\nabla_{\mathfrak{m}} \mathfrak{m})^{\text{red}} \rightarrow (\nabla_{\mathfrak{n}} \mathfrak{n})^{\text{red}}$ is always a piece-wise trivial fibration.

6.16. Definition. We say that a fat point $\mathfrak{n}$ is *simple* if $(\nabla_{\mathfrak{n}} \mathfrak{n})^{\text{red}} \cong \mathbb{A}_k^m$ for some $m \geq 0$. We say that a point system is *simple* if all of its fat points are simple and that a point system $\mathbb{X}$ is *eventually simple* if $\mathfrak{n} \in \mathbb{X}$ is simple when $\ell(\mathfrak{n}) \gg 0$.

6.17. Example. In the case where $\mathbb{X} = \{\mathfrak{l}_m\}$, it is standard (cf. [2]) that our proof will work and X will be $\mathfrak{l}$ -stable. Consider the case where $\mathfrak{m} = \text{Spec } R$ where $R = k[x, y]/(x^2, xy, y^2)$ and $\mathfrak{n} = \text{Spec } k$ so that $\nabla_{\mathfrak{n}} \mathfrak{n} = k$. A quick calculation shows that

$$\nabla_{\mathfrak{m}} \mathfrak{m} = \text{Spec } k[a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2, c_1, c_2]/I$$

where I is the ideal generated by the elements

$$\{a_1 a_2, a_1 b_2 + a_2 b_1, a_1 c_2 + a_2 c_1, a_1^2, a_1 b_1, a_1 c_1, a_2^2, a_2 b_2, a_2 c_2\}.$$

It is easy to check that $\nabla_{\mathfrak{m}}\mathfrak{m} \cong \mathfrak{m} \times_k \mathbb{A}_k^3$ and that $(\nabla_{\mathfrak{m}}\mathfrak{m})^{\text{red}} \cong \mathbb{A}_k^3$. In Example 4.17 of [13], Schoutens found the following counter-example:

$$\mathfrak{m} = \text{Spec } A/\mathcal{M}^4, \quad A := k[x, y]/(y^2 - x^3), \quad \mathcal{M} = (\bar{x}, \bar{y})$$

where $\bar{x}$ and $\bar{y}$ are the residue classes of x and y in A . In other orders, $\mathfrak{m}$ is the 4th order jet of the cuspidal curve at the origin. This is denoted in loc. cit. as $J_O^4 C$ where $C = \text{Spec } A$. It is argued there that $(\nabla_{\mathfrak{m}}\mathfrak{m})^{\text{red}}$ is singular. Thus, $\mathfrak{m}$ is not a simple point. We can use this example to show that not every point system is eventually simple. Let $\mathfrak{x} = \mathfrak{m} \times_k \text{Spec } k[[t]]$ and let $(\mathfrak{x}, \mathbb{X}) \in \mathbf{Arc}_k$ where $\mathbb{X} = \{J_0^n \mathbb{A}_{\mathfrak{m}}^1\}$. Thus, $\mathfrak{n} \in \mathbb{X}$ is such that $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{m} \times_k k[t]/(t^n)$.

$$\nabla_{\mathfrak{n}}\mathfrak{n} = \nabla_{\mathfrak{m}}\mathfrak{n} \times_k \nabla_{\mathfrak{l}_n}\mathfrak{n}.$$

Thus, we see that $(\nabla_{\mathfrak{n}}\mathfrak{n})^{\text{red}}$ is singular since $\nabla_{\mathfrak{m}}\mathfrak{n} = \nabla_{\mathfrak{m}}\mathfrak{m} \times_k \nabla_{\mathfrak{l}_n}\mathfrak{n}$ regardless of how large $\ell(\mathfrak{n}) = \ell(\mathfrak{l}_n) = n$ is.

6.18. Question. Let X be an affine scheme of finite type over an algebraically closed field and let p be a closed point of X . Under what conditions on X will the point system $\{J_p^n X\}$ be eventually simple?

With this in mind, it becomes clear that it is necessary to add additional hypotheses to Conjecture 6.7 and Conjecture 6.15. Using Definition 6.16, we obtain the following theorem:

6.19. Theorem. *Assume that $X \rightarrow \mathfrak{n}$ and $X \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}$ are smooth morphisms such that $\mathfrak{n} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{m}$ are elements of the simple point system $\mathbb{X}$ associated to an admissible arc $\mathfrak{x} \in \mathbf{Arc}_k$. Then, the natural morphism*

$$(\pi_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\mathfrak{m}})^{\text{red}} : (\nabla_{\mathfrak{m}}X)^{\text{red}} \rightarrow (\nabla_{\mathfrak{n}}X)^{\text{red}}$$

is a piecewise trivial fibration over k with general fiber

$$\mathbb{A}_k^{d(\ell(\mathfrak{m}) - \ell(\mathfrak{n})) + r(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n})}$$

where $r(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n})$ is some non-negative integer depending on $\mathfrak{m}$ and $\mathfrak{n}$ and where $d = \dim X$.

Proof. From our work in trying to prove Conjecture 6.15, we reduce to the case of understanding the morphism

$$(\nabla_{\mathfrak{m}}\mathfrak{m})^{\text{red}} \rightarrow (\nabla_{\mathfrak{n}}\mathfrak{n})^{\text{red}}.$$

Here, we use the hypothesis that the point system is simple to conclude that this map is a piecewise trivial fibration with fiber $\mathbb{A}^r$ where $r = r(\mathfrak{m}, n)$ conceivably depends on n and m . $\square$

6.20. Theorem. *Let $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$ be such that $X \rightarrow \mathfrak{n}$ is smooth for some fat point $\mathfrak{n}$. Further, assume that $\mathfrak{n}$ belongs to an eventually simple point system $\mathbb{X}$ and let $\mathfrak{x} = \varinjlim \mathbb{X}$. Then, X is rationally⁵ $\mathfrak{x}$ -laxly-stable.*

Proof. Using Theorem 4.4 of [13], any cover of X by opens U_i gives a cover of $\nabla_{\mathfrak{m}}X$ by $\nabla_{\mathfrak{m}}U_i$ where $\mathfrak{m}$ is an arbitrary fat point. Therefore, we may reduce to the case where X is affine. Then, the result follows from Theorem 6.14 and Theorem 6.20. $\square$

7. SMOOTH REDUCTIONS, LOCAL DEFORMATIONS, AND STABILITY.

We would like to investigate when we can find an admissible arc $\mathfrak{x} \in \mathbf{Arc}_k$ such that X is $\mathfrak{x}$ -laxly-stable under the assumption that X^{red} is smooth. We know that in general smoothness does not descend via a faithfully flat morphism; however, we have the following:

7.1. Proposition. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $h : Y' \rightarrow Y$ be two morphisms in $\mathbf{Sch}_k$. Set $X' = X \times_Y Y'$ and $f' : X' \rightarrow Y'$ be the canonical projection. Suppose further that h is quasi-compact and faithfully flat, then f is smooth if and only if f' is smooth.*

Proof. This is a special case of Proposition 6.8.3 of [5]. $\square$

Let $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$ be affine and write $X = \text{Spec } A$. Choose a minimal system of generators $g_1, \dots, g_s$ of the nilradical $\text{nil}(A)$ of A . Let $x_1, \dots, x_s$ be s variables and let J be the kernel of the map from $k[x_1, \dots, x_s]$ to A which sends x_i to g_i . We set $R := k[x_1, \dots, x_s]/J$. Then, $R \hookrightarrow A$ and we have the following:

7.2. Lemma. *Let X be a connected affine scheme in $\mathbf{Sch}_k$, set $\mathfrak{n} = \text{Spec } R$ where R is as in the previous paragraph, and let l be any positive integer. Then, $\mathfrak{n}$ is fat point over k , and we have the following decompositions:*

- (a) $X^{red} \cong X \times_{\mathfrak{n}} \text{Spec } k$
- (b) $X \times_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathfrak{n}}^d} \mathbb{A}_k^{dl} \cong X^{red} \times_k \mathbb{A}_k^{d(l-1)}.$

Proof. Write $X = \text{Spec } A$ for some finitely generated k -algebra. It is basic that $R \hookrightarrow A$. Let $\mathcal{M} = (x_1, \dots, x_s)R$. Clearly, $\mathcal{M}$ is a maximal ideal of R . Moreover, $\mathcal{M}A \subset \text{nil}(A)$ by construction. Therefore, there exists an N such that $\mathcal{M}^N = 0$. Therefore, R is a finitely generated k -algebra. We assumed X was connected so that R would be local. Indeed, by injectivity of $R \hookrightarrow A$, any direct sum decomposition of R

⁵See §9 below.

would immediately imply a direct sum decomposition of A as it would entail that R (and hence A) contains orthogonal idempotents $e_1 \neq e_2$.

Note that the containment $\mathcal{M}A \subset \text{nil}(A)$ is actually an equality by construction. Now, use the fact that $k = R/\mathcal{M}$ so that

$$A \otimes_R k \cong A \otimes_R (R/\mathcal{M}) \cong (A/\mathcal{M}A) \otimes_R R \cong A/\mathcal{M}A \cong A/\text{nil}(A)$$

where the second isomorphism is a well-known property of tensor products for R -algebras. This proves part (a).

Part (b) is really a restatement of the work done in the preceding paragraph. One should just note that

$$X \times_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathfrak{n}}^d} \mathbb{A}_k^{dl} \cong X \times_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathfrak{n}}^d} k \times_k \mathbb{A}_k^{dl}$$

so that we can apply (a) to the right hand side to obtain

$$X \times_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathfrak{n}}^d} k \times_k \mathbb{A}_k^{dl} \cong X^{\text{red}} \times_{\mathbb{A}_k^d} \mathbb{A}_k^{dl}.$$

This proves the result part (b). $\square$

7.3. Theorem. *Let X be a connected affine scheme. Then, X^{red} is smooth if and only if there exists a smooth morphism $X \rightarrow \mathfrak{n}$ where $\mathfrak{n} = \text{Spec } R$ is as in the previous lemma.*

Proof. This is just a restatement of Proposition 7.1 where $Y' = \text{Spec } k$, $Y = \mathfrak{n}$, and $Y' \rightarrow Y$ is the canonical morphism. Indeed, by Lemma 7.2, $X' := X \times_Y Y' \cong X^{\text{red}}$, and the homomorphism of rings $R \rightarrow k$ given by modding out by $\mathcal{M}$ is both surjective and flat. Quasi-compactness of the induced morphism of schemes $\text{Spec } k \rightarrow \mathfrak{n}$ is trivial as, topologically speaking, both are one point spaces. $\square$

7.4. Corollary. *Let X be a connected affine scheme with X^{red} smooth and let $\mathfrak{n} = \text{Spec } R$ be as before. Then, there exists an admissible arc $(\mathfrak{x}, \mathbb{X}) \in \mathbf{Arc}_k$ such that $\mathfrak{n} \in \mathbb{X}$ and X is $\mathfrak{x}$ -quasi-stable. Moreover, if $\mathfrak{n}$ belongs to an eventually simple point system, then X is rationally⁶ $\mathfrak{x}$ -laxly-stable.*

Proof. This follows immediately from Theorem 6.20 and the previous theorem. $\square$

⁶Refer to §9.

8. THE NON-AFFINE CASE AND STABILITY.

8.1. Theorem. *Let $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$ be such that X^{red} is smooth and such that each connected component of X is of dimension d . Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be any fat point. Then, there exists a finite cover $\{U_i\}$ of X by connected open affines such that, for each i ,*

$$\nabla_{\mathfrak{m}} U_i \cong U_i^{\text{red}} \times_k \mathbb{A}_k^{d(\ell(\mathfrak{m})-1)} \times_k \nabla_{\mathfrak{m}} \mathfrak{n}_i ,$$

where $\mathfrak{n}_i$ is the fat point associated to U_i as in Theorem 6.2. Moreover, if for each i there exists an isomorphism

$$(\nabla_{\mathfrak{m}} \mathfrak{n}_i)^{\text{red}} \cong \mathbb{A}_k^r ,$$

then the canonical morphism

$$(\nabla_{\mathfrak{m}} X)^{\text{red}} \rightarrow X^{\text{red}}$$

is a piece-wise trivial fibration over k with general fiber $\mathbb{A}_k^{d(\ell(\mathfrak{m})-1)+r}$.

Proof. We may cover X by a finite collection of connected open affines $\{U_i\}$. Since the open immersions $U_i^{\text{red}} \rightarrow X^{\text{red}}$ are smooth, each U_i^{red} is a smooth connected affine scheme. By Theorem 6.9, for each i , there exists a fat point $\mathfrak{n}_i$ such that $U_i \rightarrow \mathfrak{n}_i$ is smooth and, by part (a) of Lemma 7.2, such that

$$U_i^{\text{red}} \cong U_i \times_{\mathfrak{n}_i} \text{Spec } k .$$

We may shrink each U_i (if necessary) so that there is an étale morphism

$$U_i \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathfrak{n}_i}^d$$

and so that the open sets $\nabla_{\mathfrak{m}} U_i$ cover $\nabla_{\mathfrak{m}} X$ (here, $\mathfrak{m}$ is any fat point over k). Therefore, for each i ,

$$\nabla_{\mathfrak{m}} U_i \cong U_i \times_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathfrak{n}_i}^d} \nabla_{\mathfrak{m}} \mathbb{A}_{\mathfrak{n}_i}^d \cong U_i \times_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathfrak{n}_i}^d} \mathbb{A}_{\mathfrak{n}_i}^{d\ell(\mathfrak{m})} \times_k \nabla_{\mathfrak{m}} \mathfrak{n}_i ,$$

where the first equation is a consequence of Lemma 6.9 and the second equation follows from Proposition 3.3 and Theorem 4.8 of [13]. Using part (b) of Lemma 7.2, we obtain

$$\nabla_{\mathfrak{m}} U_i \cong U_i^{\text{red}} \times_k \mathbb{A}_k^{d(\ell(\mathfrak{m})-1)} \times_k \nabla_{\mathfrak{m}} \mathfrak{n}_i .$$

Because the first two factors in the above fiber product on the right hand side are affine, it is a straightforward verification that

$$(\nabla_{\mathfrak{m}} U_i)^{\text{red}} \cong U_i^{\text{red}} \times_k \mathbb{A}_k^{d(\ell(\mathfrak{m})-1)} \times_k (\nabla_{\mathfrak{m}} \mathfrak{n}_i)^{\text{red}} ,$$

from which the theorem follows. $\square$

8.2. Theorem. *Let $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$ be such that X^{red} is smooth and such that each connected component of X is of dimension d . Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be any fat point. Then, there exists a finite collection of fat point $\mathfrak{n}_i$ such that*

- (a) $\nabla_{\mathfrak{m}} X \cong X^{\text{red}} \times_k \mathbb{A}_k^{d(\ell(\mathfrak{m})-1)} \times_k \nabla_{\mathfrak{m}}(\sqcup_i \mathfrak{n}_i)$
- (b) $(\nabla_{\mathfrak{m}} X)^{\text{red}} \cong X^{\text{red}} \times_k \mathbb{A}_k^{d(\ell(\mathfrak{m})-1)} \times_k (\nabla_{\mathfrak{m}}(\sqcup_i \mathfrak{n}_i))^{\text{red}}$.

Proof. Theorem 8.1 gives us an open cover $\{U_i\}$ with associated fat points $\mathfrak{n}_i$ and the following formulas:

$$(8.1) \quad \nabla_{\mathfrak{m}} U_i \cong U_i^{\text{red}} \times_k \mathbb{A}_k^{d(\ell(\mathfrak{m})-1)} \times_k \nabla_{\mathfrak{m}} \mathfrak{n}_i.$$

Using Theorem 4.4 of [13], we may glue along $\nabla_{\mathfrak{m}} U_i$ to obtain the expression in (a). Part (b) follows from (a) because the first two factors are affine. $\square$

8.3. Conjecture. *Let $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$ be such that X^{red} is smooth. Then there exists an admissible arc $\mathfrak{x}$ such that X is rationally⁷ $\mathfrak{x}$ -stable.*

9. THE REDUCED MEASURE.

9.1. Definition. We say that a scheme X is *rationally $\mathfrak{x}$ -laxly stable* if it is weakly stable and the induced map

$$(\nabla_{\mathfrak{x}/\mathfrak{m}} X)^{\text{red}} \rightarrow (\nabla_{\mathfrak{x}/\mathfrak{n}} X)^{\text{red}}$$

is a piecewise trivial fibration with fiber $\mathbb{A}_k^r$ when $\ell(\mathfrak{n}) \gg 0$. If, in addition, $r = d(\ell(\mathfrak{m}) - \ell(\mathfrak{n}))$, then we say that X is *rationally $\mathfrak{x}$ -stable*.

As in §2, we may define a function

$$rs : \mathbf{Sch}_k \times \mathbf{Arc}_k \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{+\infty\}$$

by $rs_{\mathfrak{x}}(X) = \ell(\mathfrak{n})$ where $\mathfrak{n}$ is the minimum fat point in $\mathbb{X}$ which satisfies the definition for rational $\mathfrak{x}$ -stability. When X is rationally $\mathfrak{x}$ -stable, we say that X is *rationally $\mathfrak{x}$ -stable at level $rs_{\mathfrak{x}}(X) - 1$* . We denote the collection of all rationally $\mathfrak{x}$ -stable schemes as

$$RS_{\mathfrak{x}} = rs_{\mathfrak{x}}^{-1}(\mathbb{N}).$$

For each $\mathfrak{x} \in \mathbf{Arc}_k$, we have a set map

$$\lambda_{\mathfrak{x}} : RS_{\mathfrak{x}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{G}}_k$$

defined by

$$\lambda_{\mathfrak{x}}[rs](X) := [(\nabla_{\mathfrak{x}/\mathfrak{n}} X)^{\text{red}}] \mathbb{L}^{-\ell(\mathfrak{n})d}$$

where $d = \dim X$ and $\ell(\mathfrak{n}) \geq rs_{\mathfrak{x}}(X)$.

⁷See §9.

9.2. Definition. We say that a $\mathfrak{r}$ -stable function $\beta : \nabla_{\mathfrak{r}}X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{+\infty\}$ where X is a rationally $\mathfrak{r}$ -stable scheme is a *rational $\mathfrak{r}$ -stable function*. We say that A is a *rationally $\mathfrak{r}$ -stable subset* of $\nabla_{\mathfrak{r}}X$ if it is a $\mathfrak{r}$ -stable of $\nabla_{\mathfrak{r}}X$.

For any rationally $\mathfrak{r}$ -stable subset B of $\nabla_{\mathfrak{r}}X$, we define

$$\lambda_{\mathfrak{r}}[rs](B) := [(\pi_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\mathfrak{r}}(B))^{\text{red}}]\mathbb{L}^{-d\ell(\mathfrak{n})}$$

where $\ell(\mathfrak{n}) \geq rs_{\mathfrak{r}}(X)$. Let $\mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{r}}^X[rs]$ denote the collection of all rational $\mathfrak{r}$ -stable subsets of $\nabla_{\mathfrak{r}}X$, and let $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{r}}^X[rs]$ be the collection of all sets of the form

$$\bigsqcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (B_i \setminus \nabla_{\mathfrak{r}}X_S)$$

where $B_i \in \mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{r}}^X[rs]$ and S is a closed subset of X with $\dim S < \dim X$.

9.3. Theorem. *Let X be rationally $\mathfrak{r}$ -stable and assume that $d = \dim X$. We have a set map*

$$\lambda_{\mathfrak{r}} : \Gamma_{\mathfrak{r}}^X[rs] \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{G}}_k$$

with the following properties:

(a) *When $B \in \mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{r}}^X[rs]$, then*

$$\lambda_{\mathfrak{r}}(B) := \lambda_{\mathfrak{r}}[rs](B) := [(\pi_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\mathfrak{r}}(B))^{\text{red}}]\mathbb{L}^{-d\ell(\mathfrak{n})} \in \hat{\mathcal{G}}_k .$$

(b) *When S is a closed sub-scheme of X with $\dim S < \dim X$, then it is the case that $\lambda_{\mathfrak{r}}(\nabla_{\mathfrak{r}}X_S) = 0$.*

(c) *When $\{B_i\}$ is a countable collection of mutually disjoint elements of $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{r}}^X[rs]$, then*

$$\lambda_{\mathfrak{r}}(\cup_i B_i) = \sum_i \lambda_{\mathfrak{r}}(B_i) .$$

Proof. Consider any set of the form $B = \sqcup_i B_i$ with $B_i \in \mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{r}}^X[rs]$. We define

$$(9.1) \quad \lambda_{\mathfrak{r}}(B) := \sum_i \lambda_{\mathfrak{r}}[rs](B_i \setminus \nabla_{\mathfrak{r}}X_S)$$

where S is any closed sub-scheme of X such that $\dim S < \dim X$. The proof is exactly the same as the proof of Theorem 4.1. $\square$

Without loss of generality, we can assume Z is irreducible and affine in the following construction. Following §2 of [12], for any $Z \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$ and any subset F of Z , we define the cone $\mathfrak{C}_Z(F)$ of F over Z to be the sieve

$$\mathfrak{C}_Z(F)(\mathfrak{m}) := \rho_{\mathfrak{m}}^{-1}(F)$$

where $\rho_{\mathfrak{m}}^G : Z(\mathfrak{m}) \rightarrow Z(k)$ is the set map induced functorially by the map of rings induced by the residue map $j_{\mathfrak{m}} : \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathfrak{m}) \otimes_k k(x) \rightarrow k(x)$ via the functor defined by the action of the galois group $G := \text{Gal}(k(x)/k)$ on the category of finitely generated $k(x)$ -algebras.

9.4. Definition. Let X be a rationally $\mathfrak{r}$ -stable scheme and let $B \in \mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{r}}^X[rs]$. We define a set map $\bar{\mu}_{\mathfrak{r}}[rs] : \mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{r}}^X[rs] \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{H}}_k$ by

$$\bar{\mu}_{\mathfrak{r}}[rs](B) := [\mathfrak{C}_{\nabla_{\mathfrak{n}}X}((\pi_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\mathfrak{r}}(B))^{\text{red}})]\mathbb{L}^{-d\ell(\mathfrak{n})}.$$

9.5. Proposition. *Definition 9.4 is independent of choice of $\mathfrak{n} \in \mathbb{X}$ for large enough $\ell(\mathfrak{n})$.*

Proof. Indeed, we may reduce to the case where there exists an isomorphism of constructible sets

$$j : (\nabla_{\mathfrak{r}/\mathfrak{m}}X)^{\text{red}} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\nabla_{\mathfrak{r}/\mathfrak{n}}X)^{\text{red}} \times_k \mathbb{A}_k^{d(m-n)}$$

This induces a rational isomorphism of sieves

$$j_{-}^{\circ} : ((\nabla_{\mathfrak{r}/\mathfrak{m}}X)^{\text{red}})^{\circ}(-) \xrightarrow{\sim} ((\nabla_{\mathfrak{r}/\mathfrak{n}}X)^{\text{red}})^{\circ}(-) \times \mathbb{L}^{d(m-n)}(-)$$

Now, a is a $\mathfrak{v}$ -rational point of $\mathfrak{C}_{\nabla_{\mathfrak{m}}X}((\pi_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathfrak{r}}(B))^{\text{red}})(\mathfrak{v})$ if and only if

$$a(\mathfrak{v}) \in (\pi_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathfrak{r}}(B))^{\text{red}},$$

and this is true if and only if

$$j(a(\mathfrak{v})) \in (\pi_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\mathfrak{r}}(B))^{\text{red}} \times_k \mathbb{A}_k^{d(m-n)}.$$

Equivalently, $j_{\mathfrak{v}}^{\circ}(a) = j \circ a$ is a $\mathfrak{v}$ -rational point of $((\pi_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\mathfrak{r}}(B))^{\text{red}})^{\circ}(\mathfrak{v}) \times \mathbb{L}^{d(m-n)}(\mathfrak{v})$ such that

$$(j \circ a)(\mathfrak{v}) = (b \times_k c)(\mathfrak{v}) \in (\pi_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\mathfrak{r}}(B))^{\text{red}} \times_k \mathbb{A}_k^{d(m-n)},$$

where b is a $\mathfrak{v}$ -rational point of $((\pi_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\mathfrak{r}}(B))^{\text{red}})^{\circ}(\mathfrak{v})$ and c is a $\mathfrak{v}$ -rational point of $\mathbb{L}^{d(m-n)}(\mathfrak{v})$. This is true if and only if $j_{\mathfrak{v}}^{\circ}(a)$ is an $\mathfrak{v}$ -rational point of

$$\mathfrak{C}_{\nabla_{\mathfrak{n}}X}((\pi_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\mathfrak{r}}(B))^{\text{red}})(\mathfrak{v}) \times \mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{A}_k^{d(m-n)}}(\mathbb{A}_k^{d(m-n)})(\mathfrak{v}).$$

By Proposition 7.1 of [12], $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{A}_k^{d(m-n)}}(\mathbb{A}_k^{d(m-n)}) \cong \mathbb{A}_k^{d(m-n)}$. Therefore, j_{-}° induces a rational isomorphism of sieves

$$\mathfrak{C}_{\nabla_{\mathfrak{m}}X}((\pi_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathfrak{r}}(B))^{\text{red}}) \cong \mathfrak{C}_{\nabla_{\mathfrak{n}}X}((\pi_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\mathfrak{r}}(B))^{\text{red}}) \times \mathbb{L}^{d(m-n)}.$$

□

9.6. Theorem. *Let X be rationally $\mathfrak{x}$ -stable and assume that $d = \dim X$. We have a set map*

$$\bar{\mu}_{\mathfrak{x}} : \Gamma_{\mathfrak{x}}^X[rs] \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{H}}_k$$

with the following properties:

(a) *When $B \in \mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{x}}^X[rs]$, then*

$$\bar{\mu}_{\mathfrak{x}}(B) = \bar{\mu}_{\mathfrak{x}}[rs](B) := [\mathfrak{C}_{\nabla_{\mathfrak{n}}X}((\pi_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\mathfrak{x}}(B))^{red})]\mathbb{L}^{-d\ell(\mathfrak{n})} \in \hat{\mathcal{H}}_k .$$

(b) *When S is a closed sub-scheme of X with $\dim S < \dim X$, then it is the case that $\bar{\mu}_{\mathfrak{x}}(\nabla_{\mathfrak{x}}X_S) = 0$.*

(c) *When $\{B_i\}$ is a countable collection of mutually disjoint elements of $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{x}}^X[rs]$, then*

$$\bar{\mu}_{\mathfrak{x}}(\cup_i B_i) = \sum_i \bar{\mu}_{\mathfrak{x}}(B_i) .$$

Proof. Consider any set of the form $B = \sqcup_i B_i$ with $B_i \in \mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{x}}^X[rs]$. We define

$$(9.2) \quad \bar{\mu}_{\mathfrak{x}}(B) := \sum_i \bar{\mu}_{\mathfrak{x}}[rs](B_i \setminus \nabla_{\mathfrak{x}}X_S)$$

where S is any closed sub-scheme of X such that $\dim S < \dim X$. The proof is exactly the same as the proof of Theorem 4.1. $\square$

9.7. Lemma. *For any rationally $\mathfrak{x}$ -stable scheme X , the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \Gamma_{\mathfrak{x}}^X[rs] & \\ \bar{\mu}_{\mathfrak{x}} \swarrow & \downarrow \lambda_{\mathfrak{x}} & \\ \hat{\mathcal{H}}_k & \xrightarrow{\hat{\sigma}} & \hat{\mathcal{G}}_k \end{array}$$

Proof. Let X be a rationally $\mathfrak{x}$ stable scheme. For any $B \in \mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{x}}^X[rs]$, we have

$$\hat{\sigma}(\mathfrak{C}_{\nabla_{\mathfrak{n}}X}((\pi_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\mathfrak{x}}(B))^{red})) = \mathfrak{C}_{\nabla_{\mathfrak{n}}X}((\pi_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\mathfrak{x}}(B))^{red})(k) = (\pi_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\mathfrak{x}}(B))^{red} ,$$

from which the lemma follows. $\square$

9.8. Lemma. *If X is a $\mathfrak{x}$ -stable scheme, then X is also rationally $\mathfrak{x}$ -stable and $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{x}}^X[s] = \Gamma_{\mathfrak{x}}^X[rs]$. Moreover, given any $\mathfrak{x}$ -stable subset $A \in \Gamma_{\mathfrak{x}}^X[s]$, we have*

$$\mu(A) = \bar{\mu}(A) .$$

Proof. Let $A \in \mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{r}}^X[s]$. For any fat point $\mathfrak{v}$,

$$(\pi_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\mathfrak{r}}(A))^{\circ}(\mathfrak{v}) = \mathfrak{C}_{\nabla_{\mathfrak{n}}X}((\pi_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\mathfrak{r}}(A))^{\circ}(k))(\mathfrak{v}) = \mathfrak{C}_{\nabla_{\mathfrak{n}}X}((\pi_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\mathfrak{r}}(A))^{red})(\mathfrak{v}) .$$

Thus, $[\pi_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\mathfrak{r}}(A)] = [\mathfrak{C}_{\nabla_{\mathfrak{n}}X}((\pi_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\mathfrak{r}}(A))^{red})]$ in $\mathcal{H}_k$ which proves the lemma. $\square$

As being rationally $\mathfrak{r}$ -stable is a weaker condition than $\mathfrak{r}$ -stable, we can consider $\bar{\mu}_{\mathfrak{r}}$ as a lift of $\mu_{\mathfrak{r}}$. This is particularly important in that it allows one to glean information about rationally $\mathfrak{r}$ -stable schemes which we encountered in Theorem 6.20 and Conjecture 8.3 without first passing through $\hat{\sigma}$.

10. LAX STABILITY AND EXTENSION OF MEASURES

Let X be a rationally $\mathfrak{r}$ -laxly stable and let $\mathfrak{n} \in \mathbb{X}$ be such that $\ell(\mathfrak{n}) = rls_{\mathfrak{r}}(X)$, we define $\mathbb{S}(\mathfrak{n}) := \{\mathfrak{m} \in \mathbb{X} \mid \mathfrak{m} \geq \mathfrak{n}\}$ and a function

$$l : \mathbb{S}(\mathfrak{n}) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$$

by $l(\mathfrak{m}) := l_{\mathfrak{r}}^X(\mathfrak{m}) := r - d(\ell(\mathfrak{m}) - \ell(\mathfrak{n}))$ where r is the unique positive number given to us such that the map $\nabla_{\mathfrak{r}/\mathfrak{m}}X \rightarrow \nabla_{\mathfrak{r}/\mathfrak{n}}X$ is a piecewise trivial fibration with general fiber $\mathbb{A}_k^r$.

When X is a $\mathfrak{r}$ -laxly stable scheme, we define $\mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{r}}^X[ls]$ to be the collection of all $\mathfrak{r}$ -laxly stable subsets of X and $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{r}}^X[ls]$ to be the collection of all subsets of the form

$$\bigsqcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (A_i \setminus \nabla_{\mathfrak{r}}X_S) ,$$

where $A_i \in \mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{r}}^X[ls]$ and S is any closed sub-scheme of X such that $\dim S < \dim X$. We then have a set map $\mu_{\mathfrak{r}}^l : \mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{r}}^X[ls] \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{H}}_k$ defined by

$$(10.1) \quad \mu_{\mathfrak{r}}^l(A) := [\pi_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathfrak{r}}(A)] \mathbb{L}^{-d\ell(\mathfrak{m}) - l(\mathfrak{m})}$$

for large enough $\ell(\mathfrak{m})$. Passing additively through disjoint union results in a set map $\mu_{\mathfrak{r}}^l : \Gamma_{\mathfrak{r}}^X[ls] \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{H}}_k$.

Moreover, when X is a rationally $\mathfrak{r}$ -laxly stable scheme, we define $\mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{r}}^X[rls]$ to be the collection of all rationally $\mathfrak{r}$ -laxly stable subsets of X and $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{r}}^X[rls]$ to be the collection of all subsets of the form

$$\bigsqcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (A_i \setminus \nabla_{\mathfrak{r}}X_S) ,$$

where $A_i \in \mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{r}}^X[rls]$ and S is any closed sub-scheme of X such that $\dim S < \dim X$. We then have a set map $\bar{\mu}_{\mathfrak{r}}^l : \mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{r}}^X[rls] \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{H}}_k$ defined by

$$(10.2) \quad \bar{\mu}_{\mathfrak{r}}^l(A) := [\mathfrak{C}_{\nabla_{\mathfrak{m}}X}((\pi_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathfrak{r}}(A))^{red})] \mathbb{L}^{-d\ell(\mathfrak{m}) - l(\mathfrak{m})}$$

for large enough $\ell(\mathfrak{m})$. Passing additively through disjoint union results in a set map $\bar{\mu}_{\mathfrak{x}}^l : \Gamma_{\mathfrak{x}}^X[rls] \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{H}}_k$. Also, we have a set map $\bar{\mu}_{\mathfrak{x}}^l : \mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{x}}^X[rls] \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{G}}_k$ defined by

$$(10.3) \quad \lambda_{\mathfrak{x}}^l(A) := [(\pi_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathfrak{x}}(A))^{red}] \mathbb{L}^{-d\ell(\mathfrak{m})-l(\mathfrak{m})}$$

for large enough $\ell(\mathfrak{m})$. Passing additively through disjoint union results in a set map $\lambda_{\mathfrak{x}}^l : \Gamma_{\mathfrak{x}}^X[rls] \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{G}}_k$.

10.1. Remark. If X is (rationally) $\mathfrak{x}$ -stable, then the function l is functionally equivalent to 0. Thus,

$$\mu_{\mathfrak{x}}^l \equiv \mu_{\mathfrak{x}}^0 \equiv \mu_{\mathfrak{x}}, \quad \bar{\mu}_{\mathfrak{x}}^l \equiv \bar{\mu}_{\mathfrak{x}}^0 \equiv \bar{\mu}_{\mathfrak{x}}, \quad \lambda_{\mathfrak{x}}^l \equiv \lambda_{\mathfrak{x}}^0 \equiv \lambda_{\mathfrak{x}}$$

10.2. Remark. Note that $\mu_{\mathfrak{x}}^l$, $\bar{\mu}_{\mathfrak{x}}^l$, and $\lambda_{\mathfrak{x}}^l$ are well defined – i.e., definitions given in Equations 10.1, 10.2, and 10.3 do not depend on choice of fat point $\mathfrak{m}$ when $\ell(\mathfrak{m}) \gg 0$. The only work that needs to be done here is to notice that Theorem 9.6 does not depend on the dimension of the general fiber being $d(\ell(m) - \ell(n))$.

10.3. Theorem. *The analogue of Theorem 4.1 (resp., Theorem 9.3, Theorem 9.6) hold for $\mu_{\mathfrak{x}}^l$, (resp. $\bar{\mu}_{\mathfrak{x}}^l$, $\lambda_{\mathfrak{x}}^l$). The analogue of Lemma 9.8 also holds for $\bar{\mu}_{\mathfrak{x}}^l$ and $\lambda_{\mathfrak{x}}^l$.*

Proof. The proofs are exactly the same as before. $\square$

10.4. Example. Let $(\mathfrak{x}, \mathbb{X})$ be in $\mathbf{Arc}_k$ and assume that $\mathbb{X}$ is an eventually simple point system (cf. Definition 6.16). Let X be a scheme of dimension d which is smooth over some fat point in $\mathbb{X}$, and let $\mathfrak{n}$ be the minimum fat point in $\mathbb{X}$ such that there exists a smooth morphism $X \rightarrow \mathfrak{n}$. Theorem 6.20 assures us that X is rationally $\mathfrak{x}$ -laxly stable. Thus, we compute

$$\bar{\mu}_{\mathfrak{x}}^l(\nabla_{\mathfrak{x}} X) = [\mathfrak{C}_{\nabla_{\mathfrak{x}} X}((\nabla_{\mathfrak{x}/\mathfrak{n}} X)^{red})] \mathbb{L}^{-d \max(\ell(\mathfrak{n}), \ell(\mathfrak{m})) - r}$$

where $\mathfrak{m} \in \mathbb{X}$ is the unique simple fat point such that every $\mathfrak{v} \in \mathbb{X}$ such that $\mathfrak{v} > \mathfrak{m}$ is simple and where r is the unique non-negative integer such that $(\nabla_{\mathfrak{n}} \mathfrak{n})^{red} \cong \mathbb{A}_k^r$ (or possibly $(\nabla_{\mathfrak{m}} \mathfrak{m})^{red} \cong \mathbb{A}_k^r$ if we have to apply Theorem 6.14 when $\mathfrak{n}$ is not simple) given to us by the fact that $\mathbb{X}$ is an eventually simple point system.

10.5. Example. Assume that X is an affine scheme of dimension d and that X^{red} is smooth. Let $\mathfrak{n}$ be the associated fat point of X and assume that it belongs to a simple point system $\mathbb{X}$. Theorem 6.14 and Theorem 8.1, imply that X is rationally $\mathfrak{x}$ -laxly stable at level 0. Therefore, we may compute

$$\bar{\mu}_{\mathfrak{x}}^l(\nabla_{\mathfrak{x}} X) = [\mathfrak{C}_X(X^{red})] \mathbb{L}^{-d} = [X] \mathbb{L}^{-d}.$$

In particular, for any fat point $\mathbf{n}$ which belongs to a simple point system $\mathbb{X}$, we have

$$\bar{\mu}_{\mathfrak{x}}^l(\nabla_{\mathfrak{x}}\mathbf{n}) = [\mathbf{n}]\mathbb{L}^{-0} = [\mathbf{n}] .$$

We can extend this example by assuming that $\mathbb{X}$ is merely an eventually simple point system. Then

$$\bar{\mu}_{\mathfrak{x}}^l(\nabla_{\mathfrak{x}}X) = [\mathfrak{C}_{\nabla_{\mathbf{n}}X}((\nabla_{\mathfrak{x}/\mathbf{n}}X)^{red})]\mathbb{L}^{-d\ell(\mathbf{n})-r}$$

where $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{X}$ is the unique simple fat point such that every $\mathfrak{v} \in \mathbb{X}$ such that $\mathfrak{v} > \mathbf{n}$ is simple and where r is the unique non-negative integer such that $(\nabla_{\mathbf{n}}\mathbf{n})^{red} \cong \mathbb{A}_k^r$ given to us by the fact that $\mathbb{X}$ is an eventually simple point system. Perhaps, more interestingly, when $\mathbb{X}$ is eventually simple, we have

$$\bar{\mu}_{\mathfrak{x}}^l(\nabla_{\mathfrak{x}}\mathfrak{x}) := \bar{\mu}_{\mathfrak{x}}^l(\nabla_{\mathfrak{x}}\mathfrak{v}) = [\nabla_{\mathbf{n}}\mathbf{n}]\mathbb{L}^{-r}$$

where $\mathbf{n}$ the unique simple fat point such that every $\mathfrak{v} \in \mathbb{X}$ such that $\mathfrak{v} > \mathbf{n}$ is simple and r is the unique non-negative integer such that $(\nabla_{\mathbf{n}}\mathbf{n})^{red} \cong \mathbb{A}_k^r$. This is because $\mathfrak{C}_{\nabla_{\mathbf{n}}\mathbf{n}}((\nabla_{\mathbf{n}}\mathbf{n})^{red}) \cong \nabla_{\mathbf{n}}\mathbf{n}$ which is proved in Lemma 12.10.

11. CHANGE OF VARIABLES FOR λ^l AND $\bar{\mu}^l$.

11.1. Definition. Let Y be rationally $\mathfrak{x}$ -laxly stable. The function $l_{\mathfrak{x}}^Y : \mathbb{S}(\mathbf{n}) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ induces a function

$$\gamma_l : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \{\mathbb{L}^i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

by $\gamma_l(m) = \mathbb{L}^{l_{\mathfrak{x}}^Y(\mathbf{m})}$ when $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{S}(\mathbf{n})$ and $\gamma_l(m) = 1$ otherwise.

11.2. Lemma. Let $f = r_{Y,\mathbf{n}}(i,j)$ be the morphism given in Lemma 5.1 (hence, the underlying complete local ring has smooth irreducible components). For ease of notation, let $g = f^{red}$. Then, for any subset A of $(\nabla_{\mathbf{l}_n}Y)^{red}$, we have an equality of sieves

$$\mathfrak{C}_{\nabla_{\mathbf{n}}Y}(g^{-1}(A)) = f^{-1}(\mathfrak{C}_{\nabla_{\mathbf{l}_n}Y}(A)) .$$

Proof. For any fat point $\mathfrak{v}$, we have the following commutative diagram of sets

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \nabla_{\mathbf{n}}Y & \xrightarrow{f} & \nabla_{\mathbf{l}_n}Y \\ \rho_{\mathfrak{v}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \rho_{\mathfrak{v}} \\ \nabla_{\mathbf{n}}Y(k) & \xrightarrow{g} & \nabla_{\mathbf{l}_n}Y(k) \end{array}$$

which proves the lemma. $\square$

11.3. Theorem. *Assume that k is of characteristic zero. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a birational morphism with X connected and smooth, Y rationally $\mathfrak{x}$ -laxly stable, $\dim Y = d$, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{x}}(\mathfrak{x})$ has smooth irreducible components, and let $\alpha : \nabla_{\mathfrak{x}} Y \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{+\infty\}$ be a $\mathfrak{x}$ -quasi-stable function, then we have the following identity in $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_k$:*

$$\int_{\nabla_{\mathfrak{x}} Y} \gamma_l \cdot \mathbb{L}^{-\alpha} d\bar{\mu}_{\mathfrak{x}}^l = \int_{\nabla_{\mathfrak{x}} X} \mathbb{L}^{-\alpha \circ f - J_X(i,j)[f]} d\mu_{\mathfrak{x}}$$

when both sides converge.

Proof. By using Lemma 11.2, one can see that the proof is exactly as in the proof of Theorem 5.5. $\square$

11.4. Corollary. *Assume that k is of characteristic zero. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a birational morphism with X connected and smooth, Y rationally $\mathfrak{x}$ -laxly stable, $\dim Y = d$, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{x}}(\mathfrak{x})$ has smooth irreducible components, and let $\alpha : \nabla_{\mathfrak{x}} Y \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{+\infty\}$ be a $\mathfrak{x}$ -quasi-stable function, then we have the following identity in $\hat{\mathcal{G}}_k$:*

$$\int_{\nabla_{\mathfrak{x}} Y} \gamma_l \cdot \mathbb{L}^{-\alpha} d\lambda_{\mathfrak{x}}^l = \int_{\nabla_{\mathfrak{x}} X} \mathbb{L}^{-\alpha \circ f - J_X(i,j)[f]} d\lambda_{\mathfrak{x}} .$$

when both sides converge.

Proof. This follows directly from the Theorem 11.3 and Theorem 10.3 by applying $\hat{\sigma}$ to both sides. $\square$

11.5. Example. Assume that k is of characteristic zero. We reconsider Example 10.5 above and add the hypothesis that the point system is simple (i.e., not just eventually simple) When $\dim X > 0$, Corollary 11.4 yields

$$(11.1) \quad \bar{\mu}_{\mathfrak{x}}^l(\nabla_{\mathfrak{x}} X) = \mu_{\mathfrak{x}}(\nabla_{\mathfrak{x}} X^{\text{red}}) .$$

Therefore,

$$[X]\mathbb{L}^{-d} = [X^{\text{red}}]\mathbb{L}^{-d} .$$

11.6. Remark. Example is important for it tells us that, over an algebraically closed field, we only get interesting new data when X “struggles” to be smooth over a simple point system. The case where the underlying point system can only be assumed to be an eventually simple point system gives us some rich data encoded in Equation 11.1. The larger the length of the minimum fat point $\mathfrak{n}$ of $\mathbb{X}$ such that $\mathfrak{v} \in \mathbb{X}$ is simple whenever $\mathfrak{v} > \mathfrak{n}$, the richer the data. In this sense, we can heuristically think of the change of variables formula as the order of vanishing of an appropriate enlargement of the nilpotent sieve. For more formalism, see Proposition 11.7 below.

11.7. Proposition. *Assume that k is of characteristic zero. Let $(\mathfrak{x}, \mathbb{X})$ be in $\mathbf{Arc}_k$ and assume that $\mathbb{X}$ is a eventually simple point system (cf. Definition 6.16). Let X be a scheme of dimension $d > 0$ which is smooth over some fat point in $\mathbb{X}$. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be the so-called split nilpotent sieve of X of order $\ell(\mathfrak{n})$ defined as follows. Define $\mathcal{X}(\mathfrak{m}) = X^\circ(\mathfrak{m}) - (X^{\text{red}})^\circ(\mathfrak{m})$ and define*

$$\mathfrak{C}_{\nabla_{\mathfrak{n}}X}((\nabla_{\mathfrak{x}/\mathfrak{n}}\mathcal{X}))(\mathfrak{m}) := \mathfrak{C}_{\nabla_{\mathfrak{n}}X}((\nabla_{\mathfrak{x}/\mathfrak{n}}X))(\mathfrak{m}) - \mathfrak{C}_{\nabla_{\mathfrak{n}}X}((\nabla_{\mathfrak{x}/\mathfrak{n}}X^{\text{red}}))(\mathfrak{m}) .$$

Then $\mathbb{L}^{-d\ell(\mathfrak{n})-r}$ is a zero-divisor of

$$[\mathfrak{C}_{\nabla_{\mathfrak{n}}X}((\nabla_{\mathfrak{x}/\mathfrak{n}}\mathcal{X}))(\mathfrak{m})] := [\mathfrak{C}_{\nabla_{\mathfrak{n}}X}((\nabla_{\mathfrak{x}/\mathfrak{n}}X))(\mathfrak{m})] - [\mathfrak{C}_{\nabla_{\mathfrak{n}}X}((\nabla_{\mathfrak{x}/\mathfrak{n}}X^{\text{red}}))(\mathfrak{m})]$$

in $\mathcal{H}_k$ where $\mathfrak{n}$ is the minimal simple point such that X is smooth over $\mathfrak{n}$ and r is the unique non-negative integer such that $(\nabla_{\mathfrak{n}}\mathfrak{n})^{\text{red}} \cong \mathbb{A}_k^r$. In particular, if $\mathbb{X}$ is a simple point system, then $\mathbb{L}^{-d}$ is a zero-divisor of $[\mathcal{X}] := [X] - [X^{\text{red}}]$ in $\mathcal{H}_k$.

[11]

Proof. This follows direction from Equation 11.1. $\square$

As promised earlier, we offer here an extension of M_k defined in Theorem 6.3.

11.8. Theorem. *Let $H_{\mathfrak{x}}$ be the subring of $Gr(\mathbf{Form}_k)$ generated by finite integral sums of equivalence classes of rationally $\mathfrak{x}$ -laxly stable schemes. Then there is a group homomorphism $M_{\mathfrak{x}} : H_{\mathfrak{x}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_k$ such that*

$$\bar{\sigma} \circ M_{\mathfrak{x}}|_{S_{\mathfrak{x}}} = M_k \circ \bar{\sigma}|_{S_{\mathfrak{x}}}$$

where $S_{\mathfrak{x}}$ is the subring of $H_{\mathfrak{x}}$ generated by finite integral sums of equivalence classes of rationally $\mathfrak{x}$ -laxly stable schemes with smooth reduction and where $\bar{\sigma}$ is the homomorphism $\mathcal{H}_k/(bL) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_k/(\mathbb{L})$ induced by σ .

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 6.3, it is enough to define $M_{\mathfrak{x}}([X]) = \bar{\mu}_{\mathfrak{x}}^l(\nabla_{\mathfrak{x}}X)$ whenever X is a rationally $\mathfrak{x}$ -laxly stable scheme and then extended linearly over $\mathbb{Z}$. The rest follows by construction. $\square$

11.9. Remark. As in Remark 6.4, we can extend $M_{\mathfrak{x}}$ to a ring homomorphism

$$\bar{M}_{\mathfrak{x}} : H_{\mathfrak{x}}/(\mathbb{L}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_k/(\mathbb{L})$$

by defining $\bar{M}_{\mathfrak{x}}([T]) = \mathbb{L} \cdot \bar{M}_{\mathfrak{x}}([X])$ where $[X]$ modulo $(\mathbb{L})$ is equal to $[T]$. We then have

$$\bar{\sigma} \circ \bar{M}_{\mathfrak{x}}|_{S_{\mathfrak{x}}/(\mathbb{L})} = \bar{M}_k \circ \bar{\sigma}|_{S_{\mathfrak{x}}/(\mathbb{L})} .$$

11.10. **Question.** For each $\mathfrak{x} \in \mathbf{Arc}_k$, what is $\text{Ker}(\bar{M}_{\mathfrak{x}})$ and does it have a nice geometric interpretation?

Below we briefly answer this question when $\mathfrak{x}$ is defined via an eventually simple point system.

11.11. **Definition.** Let $(\mathfrak{x}, \mathbb{X}) \in \mathbf{Arc}_k$ and assume that $\mathbb{X}$ is eventually simple. Let $\mathbb{X}^s$ be the collection of all simple fat points $\mathfrak{v}$ such that there exists closed immersions $\mathfrak{n} \rightarrow \mathfrak{v} \rightarrow \mathfrak{x}$ where $\mathfrak{n}$ is the minimal fat point in $\mathbb{X}$ such that $\mathfrak{m} \in \mathbb{X}$ is simple whenever $\mathfrak{m} > \mathfrak{n}$ plus the finite collection of simple fat points $\mathfrak{v}$ with $\mathfrak{v} \rightarrow \mathfrak{n}$ such that there does not exist a $\mathfrak{m} \in \mathbb{X}$ such $\mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathfrak{v}$. We call $\mathbb{X}^s$ the *simple closure of $\mathbb{X}$* , and we say the eventually simple point system $\mathbb{X}$ is simply-closed when $\mathbb{X}^s = \mathbb{X}$ as sets.

11.12. **Remark.** So far all of our constructions involving motivic volumes have depended on the underlying point system defining $\mathfrak{x}$, yet we have chosen to suppress the notation $(\mathfrak{x}, \mathbb{X})$. The advantage of using simply-closed point system is that it fixes a canonical point system whenever $\mathfrak{x}$ can be defined by a eventually simple point system. Therefore, whenever $\mathfrak{x}$ is defined by an eventually simple point system $\mathbb{X}$, we have a canonical choice of point system $\mathbb{X}^s$ containing the maximal amount of information so that all of our constructions involving motivic volumes truly do not depend on the point system which defines $\mathfrak{x}$.

11.13. **Proposition.** *If $\mathfrak{x}$ is defined by a simply-closed point system $\mathbb{X}$ and let $\mathfrak{m}$ be the minimal simple point of $\mathbb{X}$ such that $\mathfrak{v} > \mathfrak{m}$ implies $\mathfrak{v}$ is simple. Let $\mathbb{Y}$ be the set containing all fat points $\mathfrak{n}$ of $\mathbb{X}$ such that $\ell(\mathfrak{n}) \geq \ell(\mathfrak{m})$. Then*

$$\text{Ker}(\bar{M}_{\mathfrak{x}}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Y}]$$

where $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Y}]$ the ring of finite integral sums of elements in the image of $\mathbb{Y}$ in $\text{Gr}(\mathbf{Sch}_k)$. In particular, when $\mathbb{X}$ is simple this is just the subring of $H_{\mathfrak{x}}$ generated by simple fat points which admit a closed immersion $\mathfrak{n} \rightarrow \mathfrak{x}$. Moreover, $H_{\mathfrak{x}}$ is isomorphic to the Grothendieck ring of trivial $\mathfrak{v}$ deformations of birationally varieties over k where $\mathfrak{v}$ runs over $\mathbb{Y}$ described above. In other words, any element of the kernel can be identified with

$$(11.2) \quad \mathbb{P}_{\mathfrak{n}_1}^{s_1} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \mathbb{P}_{\mathfrak{n}_l}^{s_l}$$

where $\mathfrak{n}_i \in \mathbb{Y}$ and s_i are nonnegative integers.

Proof. The first part is clear. The second geometric claim follows from the work of Larsen and Lunts in [8]. $\square$

Now, $H_{\mathfrak{r}}$ is far from having a simple algebraic description. For example, $[\mathfrak{v}] \in H_{\mathfrak{l}}$ where $\mathfrak{v} = \text{Spec } k[x, y]/(x^3, y^2, xy)$ because this is a subring of $k[t]/(t^5)$ defined by sending x to t^2 and y to t^3 and a simple fat point. This is connected to a particular question raised on mathoverflow by Vivek Shende and answered by Sándor Kovács [11]. This raises the following derivative question:

11.14. Question. Let A be any subring of $k[t]/(t^n)$ for some n , when is $\mathfrak{v} = \text{Spec } A$ a simple fat point?

11.15. Remark. Of course, we could by-pass this question if we consider different choices of point systems defining $k[[t]]$ such as the standard point system defined by $\mathfrak{l}_n = \text{Spec } k[t]/(t^n)$. Then, of course, the kernel of $\bar{M}_{\mathfrak{l}}$ is much easier to describe both algebraically and geometrically by using a simple variant of Proposition 11.13. In either case, using this proposition, we have

$$\bar{\sigma}(\text{Ker}(\bar{M}_{\mathfrak{r}})) \cong \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{P}_k^1] \cong \text{Ker}(\bar{M}_k) .$$

12. MOTIVIC GENERATING SERIES.

Following §9 of [13], we give the following definition:

12.1. Definition. Let $(\mathfrak{r}, \mathbb{X}) \in \mathbf{Arc}_k$ and $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$, we define the *motivic igusa-zeta series of X with respect to $\mathfrak{r}$* by

$$\zeta_{\mathfrak{r}}(X)(t) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} [\nabla_{\mathfrak{n}} X] \mathbb{L}^{-d\ell(\mathfrak{n})} t^n .$$

Moreover, we define *the motivic Poincaré series of X with respect to $\mathfrak{r}$* by

$$P_{\mathfrak{r}}(X)(t) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} [\nabla_{\mathfrak{r}/\mathfrak{n}} X] \mathbb{L}^{-d\ell(\mathfrak{n})} t^n .$$

12.2. Remark. In Definition 12.1, we make identification $\mathfrak{n}$ with the n th order jet $J_o^n Y$ of some affine scheme Y at a closed point $o \in Y$ assured to us by Theorem 1.4.

12.3. Proposition. When X is $\mathfrak{r}$ -stable, then $P_{\mathfrak{r}}(X)$ belongs to $\mathcal{H}_k[t, \frac{1}{1-t}]$.

Proof. By definition of stability, for sufficiently large k , we have the following equalities in $\mathcal{H}_k[[t]]$.

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\mathfrak{r}}(X)(t) &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} [\nabla_{\mathfrak{r}/n} X] \mathbb{L}^{-d\ell(n)} t^n \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^k [\nabla_{\mathfrak{r}/n} X] \mathbb{L}^{-d\ell(n)} t^n + \sum_{n>k} \mu_{\mathfrak{r}}(\nabla_{\mathfrak{r}} X) t^n \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^k [\nabla_{\mathfrak{r}/n} X] \mathbb{L}^{-d\ell(n)} t^n + \mu_{\mathfrak{r}}(\nabla_{\mathfrak{r}} X) \frac{t^{k+1}}{1-t} . \end{aligned}$$

□

When X is $\mathfrak{r}$ -laxly stable, the same work above will give us the formula

$$P_{\mathfrak{r}}(X)(t) = \sum_{n=1}^k [\nabla_{\mathfrak{r}/n} X] \mathbb{L}^{-d\ell(n)} t^n + \mu_{\mathfrak{r}}^l(\nabla_{\mathfrak{r}} X) \sum_{n>k} \gamma_l(n) t^n .$$

Note that γ_l was defined at the beginning of §11 via the function $l(n) := l_{\mathfrak{r}}^X(n)$. When l is linear in n meaning that $l(n) = qn + b$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\mathfrak{r}}(X)(t) &= \sum_{n=1}^k [\nabla_{\mathfrak{r}/n} X] \mathbb{L}^{-d\ell(n)} t^n + \mu_{\mathfrak{r}}^l(\nabla_{\mathfrak{r}} X) \mathbb{L}^b \sum_{n>k} (\mathbb{L}^q t)^n \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^k [\nabla_{\mathfrak{r}/n} X] \mathbb{L}^{-d\ell(n)} t^n + \mu_{\mathfrak{r}}^l(\nabla_{\mathfrak{r}} X) \mathbb{L}^b \frac{(\mathbb{L}^q t)^{k+1}}{1 - \mathbb{L}^q t} . \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have the following proposition:

12.4. Proposition. *If X is $\mathfrak{r}$ -laxly stable and l is a linear function of slope q , then $P_{\mathfrak{r}}(X)$ belongs to $\mathcal{H}_k[t, \frac{1}{1-\mathbb{L}^q t}]$.*

12.5. Remark. It would be easy to generalize this when l is piecewise linear, and since presburger sets are built out of piecewise linear conditions in n , Proposition 12.4 can be regarded as a generalization of the well-known statements about the rationality of the motivic Poincaré series. For example, the reader may refer to Theorem 5.15 of [2].

12.6. Example. Let X be an affine scheme of dimension d such that X^{red} is smooth. Assume that its associated fat point belongs to a simple point system $\mathbb{X}$ and let $\mathfrak{r} = \varinjlim \mathbb{X}$. In Example 10.5, we showed that X is rationally $\mathfrak{r}$ -laxly stable. Therefore, we can compute the

Poincaré series of X with respect to $\mathfrak{x}$ when l is a linear function with slope q in the same way the we proved the previous proposition:

$$P_{\mathfrak{x}}(X)(t) = \bar{\mu}_{\mathfrak{x}}^l(\nabla_{\mathfrak{x}}X) \mathbb{L}^b \frac{t}{1 - \mathbb{L}^q t} = [X] \mathbb{L}^{b-d} \frac{t}{1 - \mathbb{L}^q t} .$$

Therefore, for any fat point $\mathfrak{n}$ which belongs to a simple point system, we have

$$\hat{\sigma}(P_{\mathfrak{x}}(\mathfrak{n})(t)) = \mathbb{L}^b \frac{t}{1 - \mathbb{L}^q t}$$

where $\hat{\sigma}$ is extended to a morphism $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_k((t)) \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{G}}_k((t))$ by sending t to t .

12.7. Proposition. *Assume that k is of characteristic zero. Let $(\mathfrak{x}, \mathbb{X})$ be in $\mathbf{Arc}_k$ and assume that $\mathbb{X}$ is a simple point system (cf. Definition 6.16). Let X be an affine scheme of dimension $d > 0$ such that X^{red} is smooth and assume that its associated fat point belongs to $\mathbb{X}$. If l is a linear function of slope q with y -intercept b , then*

$$P_{\mathfrak{x}}(X)(t) = [X^{\text{red}}] \mathbb{L}^{b-d} \frac{t}{1 - \mathbb{L}^q t} \in \mathcal{H}_k[t, \frac{1}{1 - \mathbb{L}^q t}] ,$$

Proof. This follows from Example 11.6 and Example 12.6. $\square$

12.8. Remark. If X is $\mathfrak{x}$ -laxly stable at level 0 and $\nabla_{\mathfrak{x}}X \rightarrow \nabla_{\mathfrak{n}}X$ is surjective, then

$$l_{\mathfrak{x}}^X(n) = \dim(\nabla_{\mathfrak{n}}X) - d\ell(\mathfrak{n}) ,$$

which is known in [13] as the defect of X at $\mathfrak{n}$. Therefore, when X is rationally $\mathfrak{x}$ -laxly stable at 0, we call $l_{\mathfrak{x}}^X(n)$ the *rational $\mathfrak{x}$ defect* of X at $\mathfrak{n}$. For a simple point system $\mathbb{X}$, we have a well defined $l_{\mathfrak{x}}^{\mathfrak{n}}(n)$ rational defect of $\mathfrak{n}$ at $\mathfrak{n}$, which is just equal to $\dim(\nabla_{\mathfrak{n}}\mathfrak{n})$, which is studied in loc. it.

Following §5 of [13], we define the *weightless auto-igusa zeta series of a limit point $\mathfrak{x}$* to be

$$(12.1) \quad \zeta_{\mathfrak{x}}^w(t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{L}^{-l(\mathfrak{n})} [\nabla_{\mathfrak{n}}\mathfrak{n}] t^n .$$

Assume that $(\mathfrak{x}, \mathbb{X}) \in \mathbf{Arc}_k$ where $\mathbb{X}$ is simple. We have already seen in our examples that each coefficient in this power series is $\bar{\mu}_{\mathfrak{x}}^l(\nabla_{\mathfrak{x}}\mathfrak{n})$ which is in turn just equal to $[\mathfrak{n}]$. Thus, we obtain

$$\hat{\sigma}(\zeta_{\mathfrak{x}}^w(t)) = \frac{t}{1 - t} .$$

12.9. Remark. Heuristically speaking, $\mathfrak{x}$ can be “identified” with the evaluation of $\zeta_{\mathfrak{x}}^w(t)$ at $t = 1$ when $\mathfrak{x}$ is defined by a simple point system. However, note that $\mathfrak{x}_1 \times_k \mathfrak{x}_2$ would then be identified with the evaluation of $\zeta_{\mathfrak{x}_1 \times_k \mathfrak{x}_2}^w(1)$ at $t = 1$ and is not the same as evaluating the function $\zeta_{\mathfrak{x}_1}^w(t) \cdot \zeta_{\mathfrak{x}_2}(1)$ at $t = 1$. We denote this later element of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_k$ as $\mathfrak{x}_1 \star_k \mathfrak{x}_2$ and call this *the virtual fiber product of $\mathfrak{x}_1$ and $\mathfrak{x}_2$* . Really, the identification which takes place here is that the element of $\zeta_{\mathfrak{x}}^w(1)$ is the spectrum of the ultraproduct of rings formed by the $\prod_n \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{n}}(\mathfrak{n}) / \sim$ where $\sim$ is defined by some non-principle ultrafilter on $\mathbb{N}$. Then, the virtual fiber product is induced by the tensor product of the respective ultraproduct of rings.

Again, following §5 of [13], we define *the auto-igusa zeta series of an admissible arc $\mathfrak{x}$* to be

$$(12.2) \quad \zeta_{\mathfrak{x}}(t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{L}^{-g\ell(\mathfrak{n})} [\nabla_{\mathfrak{n}} \mathfrak{n}] t^n ,$$

where g is the embedded dimension of $\dim \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{x}}(\mathfrak{x})$.

12.10. Lemma. *Let $(\mathfrak{x}, \mathbb{X}) \in \mathbf{Arc}_k$. Then, for any $\mathfrak{n} \in \mathbb{X}$,*

$$\mathfrak{C}_{\nabla_{\mathfrak{x}} \mathfrak{x}}(\nabla_{\mathfrak{x}} \mathfrak{n}(k)) = (\nabla_{\mathfrak{x}} \mathfrak{n})^\circ$$

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{v}$ be any fat point. It is immediate that

$$\mathfrak{C}_{\nabla_{\mathfrak{x}} \mathfrak{x}}(\nabla_{\mathfrak{x}} \mathfrak{n}(k))(\mathfrak{v}) \subset (\nabla_{\mathfrak{x}} \mathfrak{n})^\circ(\mathfrak{v}) .$$

To show the converse we must show that every map $f : \mathfrak{x} \times_k \mathfrak{v} \rightarrow \mathfrak{n}$ factors through the canonical projection $p : \mathfrak{x} \times_k \mathfrak{v} \rightarrow \mathfrak{x}$. Indeed, there is a map $i : \mathfrak{x} \rightarrow \mathfrak{x} \times_k \mathfrak{v}$ defined by sending the variables in the coordinate ring of $\mathfrak{v}$ to 0. Moreover, we may choose this map so that the composition

$$\mathfrak{x} \rightarrow \mathfrak{x} \times_k \mathfrak{v} \rightarrow \mathfrak{x}$$

is the identity on $\mathfrak{x}$. Then the composition $f \circ i : \mathfrak{x} \rightarrow \mathfrak{x} \times_k \mathfrak{v} \rightarrow \mathfrak{n}$ will be such that $f \circ i \circ p = f \circ \text{id}_{\mathfrak{x}} = f$, as desired. $\square$

Let $A_n := (\nabla_{\mathfrak{x}} \mathfrak{n})^\circ \subset \nabla_{\mathfrak{x}} \mathfrak{x}$ and let $B_n := A_n \setminus \bigcup_{m=1}^{n-1} A_m$, with $B_1 = A_1 = (\nabla_{\mathfrak{x}} k)^\circ$. We define functions $\beta_n : A_n \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ inductively by $\beta_n \equiv g\ell(\mathfrak{n})$ on B_n . By Lemma 12.10, B_n is a formal sieve, and so β_n is a $\mathfrak{x}$ -quasi stable function. We may extend by zero each function $\bar{\beta}_n : A_n \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ meaning that outside of B_n (i.e., on A_{n-1} , $\bar{\beta}_n$ will equivalent to 0). Therefore, we have the following when $\mathfrak{x}$ is simple:

$$(12.3) \quad \int_{A_n} \gamma_l \mathbb{L}^{-\alpha_n} d\bar{\mu}_{\mathfrak{x}}^l = [\nabla_{\mathfrak{n}} \mathfrak{n}] \mathbb{L}^{-g\ell(\mathfrak{n})} .$$

We then obtain the following theorem:

12.11. **Theorem.** *Let $(\mathfrak{x}, \mathbb{X}) \in \mathbf{Arc}_k$ be a simple point system. Then*

$$\int_{\nabla_{\mathfrak{x}} \mathbb{X}} \gamma_l \mathbb{L}^{-\sum_n \alpha_n} d\bar{\mu}_{\mathfrak{x}}^l = \zeta_{\mathfrak{x}}(1) .$$

Proof. This follows from Equation 12.3 and the fact that $\cup_n A_n = \nabla_{\mathfrak{x}} \mathbb{X}$.

□

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Atiyah & I. Mcdonald *Introduction to Commutative Algebra*, Westview Press. 6
- [2] J. Denef & F. Loeser *Germes of arcs of singular varieties and motivic integration*, Inven. Math **11**, **13**, **14**, **17**, **33**
- [3] J. Denef & F. Loeser *Motivic Igusa Zeta Functions*, J. Algebraic Geometry **7** (1998), no. 3, 505 - 537 **14**
- [4] A. Grothendieck, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas. I*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. No. 20 1964 259 pp. **6**
- [5] A. Grothendieck, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas. II*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. No. 24 1965 231 pp. **19**
- [6] R. Hartshorne *Algebraic Geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics. **16**
- [7] R. Hartshorne *Deformation Theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics. **14**
- [8] M. Larsen & V. A. Lunts *Motivic measures and stable birational geometry*, Mosc. Math. J. **3** (2003), 8595. **14**, **31**
- [9] Q. Liu *Algebraic Geometry and Arithmetic Curves*, Oxford Graduate Texts in Mathematics. **15**
- [10] M. Kontsevich *Talk at Orsay* (1995).
- [11] S. Kovács (mathoverflow.net/users/10076), *When is a local Artin C-algebra a subring of $C[t]/t^n$* , <http://mathoverflow.net/questions/47379> (version: 2013-02-22) **30**, **32**
- [12] H. Schoutens *Schemic Grothendieck Rings I*, websupport1.citytech.cuny.edu/faculty/hschoutens/PDF/SchemicGrothendieckRingPart I.pdf (2011). **3**, **11**, **12**, **23**, **24**
- [13] H. Schoutens *Schemic Grothendieck Rings II*, websupport1.citytech.cuny.edu/faculty/hschoutens/PDF/SchemicGrothendieckRingPart I.pdf (2011). **3**, **4**, **5**, **7**, **10**, **13**, **15**, **17**, **18**, **19**, **21**, **22**, **32**, **34**, **35**
- [14] The Stacks Project Authors *Stacks Project: Topology* <http://stacks.math.columbia.edu> **12**

ANDREW R. STOUT, GRADUATE CENTER, CITY UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK,
365 FIFTH AVENUE, 10016, U.S.A. & UNIVERSITÉ PIERRE-ET-MARIE-CURIE,
4 PLACE JUSSIEU, 75005, PARIS, FRANCE
E-mail address: astout@gc.cuny.edu